

Bi-algebras, generalised geometry and T-duality

R A Reid-Edwards

*Centre for Mathematical Sciences
City University, London
Northampton Square
London, EC1V 0HB, U.K.*

Abstract

A study of sigma models whose target space is a group G that admits a compatible Poisson structure is presented. The natural action of $O(D, D; \mathbb{Z})$ on the generalised tangent bundle $TG \oplus T^*G$ and a generalisation of the Courant bracket that appears are reviewed. This background provides a concrete example where the generalised geometry and doubled geometry descriptions are both well understood. Connections between the two formalisms are discussed and the world-sheet theory from Hamiltonian and Lagrangian perspectives is investigated. The comparisons between the approaches given by generalised geometry and doubled geometry suggest possible ways of generalising the analysis beyond the known examples.

1 Introduction

The starting point of Buscher's proof of T-duality in [1] was to consider a background in which D of the dimensions are compact. Let the metric, dilaton and H -field along the compact directions are denoted by g_{ij} , ϕ and $H = dB$ respectively. The compact space is then required to have an abelian isometry group generated by a set of isometry vectors $K_m = K_m^i \partial_i$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_K g_{ij} = 0 \quad \mathcal{L}_K H = \iota_K H = 0 \quad \mathcal{L}_K \phi = \iota_K d\phi = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

The canonical example of such a background is a torus fibration. In order to demonstrate the duality, the invariance is elevated to a gauge symmetry on the world-sheet and one-forms A^m are introduced, transforming as connections for the abelian gauge theory. Introducing a Lagrange multiplier term $d\tilde{x}_m \wedge A^m$ into the gauged theory one can show that, not only are the connections flat but, if the compact space has the correct periodicity conditions, that the holonomies of the connections also vanish¹. Thus the gauged theory is equivalent to the un-gauged theory. Alternatively one may integrate out the gauge fields A^m in the gauged sigma model and re-express the gauged theory in terms of the Lagrange multipliers \tilde{x}_m . This dual formulation of the theory is equivalent to the gauged world-sheet theory with one-forms A^m and therefore equivalent to the original, un-gauged theory we first thought of. The background fields of the two dual formulations are related by the action of $O(D, D; \mathbb{Z}) \subset O(D, D)$ [2]. The construction was generalised to include Ramond-Ramond fields in [3, 4] and for non-trivial torus fibrations in [5]. A comprehensive review of the early research in this area, including many applications, may be found in [6].

Following from the success of the Buscher construction many authors² attempted to generalise T-duality to backgrounds in which the isometry group is non-abelian. As in the abelian case, world-sheet fields A^m are introduced to gauge the non-abelian isometry group and a Lagrange multiplier term $tr(\tilde{x}_m F^m)$ is introduced to constrain the non-abelian field strength to vanish. A potential problem was highlighted in the fact that the 'dual' theory generally will not have isometries so it is unclear how one would demonstrate the duality in the other direction. In fact the problems constructing a non-abelian generalization of the Buscher rules run far deeper. It was shown in [8] that the pairs of backgrounds produced in this non-abelian construction are generally not dual. Though it is possible to ensure the connection is flat ($F^m = 0$), it is not possible to remove the holonomies of A^m , so that the gauged theory is not physically equivalent to the original theory. At best, the non-abelian 'duality' is map between inequivalent sigma models. At tree level this non-abelian 'duality' is a genuine symmetry as the world-sheet is topologically a sphere and all holonomies are therefore trivial. This fact has been exploited recently [9] to construct a fermionic version

¹The vanishing of all holonomies of a flat connection is enough to ensure that we can fix $A^m = 0$.

²See [7] in particular

of T-duality to explain the presence of a dual superconformal symmetry in colour-stripped planar scattering amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills.

In [10, 11, 12] the notion of ‘Poisson-Lie’ duality was introduced in which the non-abelian map³ described above was generalised to include backgrounds without isometries on *both* sides of the map. The background need not satisfy the isometry conditions (1.1) but must satisfy the much weaker (‘non-isometric’) condition

$$\mathcal{L}_m \mathcal{E}_{ij} = c_m{}^{np} K_n{}^k K_p{}^l \mathcal{E}_{ik} \mathcal{E}_{lj} \quad \mathcal{L}_m \phi = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{ij} = g_{ij} + B_{ij}$ is the background field and $K_m = K_m{}^i \partial_i$ are vector fields which satisfy the commutator $[K_m, K_n] = f_{mn}{}^p K_p$ where $f_{mn}{}^p$ are structure constants for a non-abelian group. The $c_m{}^{np}$ in (1.2) are also structure constants such that there is a $2D$ dimensional Lie-algebra, called a Drinfel’d double with commutation relations

$$[T_m, T_n] = f_{mn}{}^p T_p \quad [T_m, \tilde{T}^n] = c^{np}{}_m T_p - f_{mp}{}^n \tilde{T}^p \quad [\tilde{T}^m, \tilde{T}^n] = c^{mn}{}_p \tilde{T}^p \quad (1.3)$$

The details of the derivation of this condition can found in [11]. Despite the elucidation that this approach gives to the non-abelian map, it still falls foul of the arguments presented in [8] and in general does not describe a duality of the string theory. Recent studies of gauged supergravities have lead to a renewed interest in T-duality on non-isometric backgrounds. Though it is clear that the Poisson-Lie map as it stands is not *generally* a true duality of the string theory, there are examples in which the map is a duality⁴ and leads to interesting string theory backgrounds.

1.1 Gauged supergravity and T-duality

The duality discovered by Buscher is manifest in the effective supergravity theory as part of a rigid $O(D, D; \mathbb{Z})$ symmetry [2]. The classical supergravity equations of motion describing the background fields on the target space which is a trivial torus fibration over a d dimensional space-time are given by the vanishing of the world-sheet beta-functions and can be recovered, in the limit where we can ignore the D internal coordinates, from a space-time Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_d . The supergravity has gauge group $U(1)^{2D} \subset O(D, D)$ and may be written in a manifestly $O(D, D)$ invariant way

$$\mathcal{L}_d = e^{-\phi} \left(R * 1 + *d\phi \wedge d\phi - \frac{1}{2} G_{(3)} \wedge *G_{(3)} + \frac{1}{2} d\mathcal{M}^{IJ} \wedge *\mathcal{M}_{IJ} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}_{IJ} \mathcal{F}^I \wedge *\mathcal{F}^J \right)$$

The scalars \mathcal{M}_{IJ} take values in the coset space $O(D, D)/O(D) \times O(D)$. The details of this reduction are given in [13, 14, 15] and the conventions of [15] have been used. This supergravity may also be found directly by compactification of the ten (or twenty-six) dimensional

³The term ‘duality’ will be reserved only for those maps which relate physically equivalent quantum sigma models, i.e. symmetries of the string theory.

⁴The abelian Drinfel’d double $\mathcal{G} = U(1)^{2D}$, corresponding to a compactification on T^D , is an obvious example.

supergravity on T^D and truncating to the zero modes of the harmonic expansions in the fields. Many examples of massive deformations, principally gaugings which preserve the maximal supersymmetry, have been studied. For example see [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22] and references therein. The examples of interest here are those in which a $2D$ -dimensional group \mathcal{G} is gauged⁵. The resulting gauged theory is of the general form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_d = & e^{-\phi} \left(R * 1 + *d\phi \wedge d\phi + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}_{(3)} \wedge * \mathcal{H}_{(3)} + \frac{1}{2} * D\mathcal{M}_{MN} \wedge D\mathcal{M}^{MN} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{F}^M \wedge * \mathcal{F}^N \right) + V * 1 \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where the structure constants of the gauge algebra $[\mathcal{Z}_M, \mathcal{Z}_N] = t_{MN}{}^P \mathcal{Z}_P$ appear as massive deformation parameters. For example, the scalar potential

$$V = e^{-\varphi} \left(\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}^{MQ} L^{NT} L^{PS} t_{MNPt_{QTS}} - \frac{1}{12} \mathcal{M}^{MQ} \mathcal{M}^{NT} \mathcal{M}^{PS} t_{MNPt_{QTS}} \right)$$

depends explicitly on the structure constants. The question of how such gauged supergravities can be realised in string theory and in particular how to lift these supergravities to compactifications of ten and twenty-six dimensional theories has been the subject of much recent activity [15, 16, 23].

It has been suggested that many gauged supergravities cannot be realised as compactifications on manifolds in the conventional sense but instead may be realised as ‘non-geometric compactifications’, in which the D dimensional compact space is not a manifold, but a more general string background in which the duality symmetries of the theory play an important role [16, 23, 24, 26, 25]. The prototypical example of such a non-geometric background is the T-fold [24]. This is a D dimensional background constructed as a T^{D-1} fibred over a base circle. The monodromy of the fibration is such that theory in the toroidal fibres is glued together, upon circumnavigating the base, by a transition function which involves a T-duality (a general action of $O(D-1, D-1; \mathbb{Z})$). The background is locally geometric but globally non-geometric.

The coordinate on the base circle is taken to be $x \sim x+1$. Backgrounds of this form with monodromies in $O(D-1, D-1; \mathbb{Z})$ give rise to gauged supergravities with gauge algebras

$$\begin{aligned} [Z_x, Z_a] &= f_{xa}{}^b Z_b + H_{xab} X^b & [Z_x, X^a] &= -f^a{}_{xb} X^b + c_x{}^{ab} Z_b \\ [Z_a, Z_b] &= K_{xab} X^x & [X^a, Z_b] &= -f^a{}_{xb} X^x & [X^a, X^b] &= c_x{}^{ab} X^x \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

with all other commutators vanishing and $a, b, = 1, 2, \dots, D-1$. The generators Z_x and Z_a can be thought of as related to isometry generators along the base circle and torus fibre respectively, whilst the generators X^x (or X^a) are related to transformations of the B -field

⁵Note that \mathcal{G} is not a subgroup of $O(D, D)$. This is simply seen from the example $\mathcal{G} = U(1)^{2D}$ discussed above. The Cartan torus of $O(D, D)$ is not large enough to contain the torus T^{2D}

components with one leg along the circle and the other in the $D - 1$ dimensional torus. The structure constants characterize the monodromy of the fibration. In particular $f_{xa}{}^b$ relates to an $SL(D - 1; \mathbb{Z})$ large diffeomorphisms arising from the topology of the background, H_{xab} is due to a monodromy in the B -field⁶ and $c_x{}^{ab}$ gives rise to a monodromy which includes a T-duality and indicates that the internal space is a T-fold. More details on the origin and interpretation of this gauge algebra may be found in [28]. The action of $O(D - 1, D - 1; \mathbb{Z})$ along the fibre directions exchanges Z_a and X^a and gives different T-dual descriptions of the physics. Since the fibres are tori the question of whether the action of $O(D - 1, D - 1; \mathbb{Z})$ really is a duality depends on global issues and were studied in [5, 29].

It was conjectured in [25] that the full action of $O(D, D; \mathbb{Z})$, including dualisation along the non-isometric x (base coordinate) direction, may generate a genuine symmetry of the string theory. Dualising along the x direction, exchanging Z_x and X^x , produces a supergravity with gauge algebra

$$\begin{aligned} [X^x, Z_a] &= c_a{}^{xb} Z_b + f_{ab}{}^x X^b & [X^x, X^a] &= -c^{xa}{}_b X^b + R^{xab} Z_b \\ [Z_a, Z_b] &= f_{ab}{}^x Z_x & [X^a, Z_b] &= -c^{xa}{}_b Z_x & [X^a, X^b] &= R^{xab} Z_x \end{aligned}$$

The background then includes the so-called R -flux, which refers to the structure constant R^{xab} . There is some evidence that backgrounds with R -flux are not even locally geometric, although the precise nature of these backgrounds is yet to be understood. One may think of such backgrounds as a T^{D-1} fibration over the dual coordinate \tilde{x} , conjugate to the winding modes along the base [25].

More generally, a supergravity of the form (1.4) that does not arise from a compactification on an internal space which is a torus fibration admits gauge algebras that take the more general form

$$[Z_m, Z_n] = f_{mn}{}^p Z_p + H_{mnp} X^p \quad [Z_m, X^n] = \gamma_m{}^{np} Z_p + h_{mp}{}^n X^p \quad [X^m, X^n] = c^{mn}{}_p X^p + R^{mnp} Z_p \quad (1.6)$$

Note that in those cases where $H_{mnp} = R^{mnp} = 0$, $\gamma_m{}^{np} = -c_m{}^{np}$, and $h_{mn}{}^p = f_{mn}{}^p$ the above gauge algebras are all Drinfel'd doubles (1.3) and arise from compactifications on backgrounds which satisfy the Poisson-Lie condition (1.2). It is this class of gaugings we shall be principally concerned with in this paper.

1.2 Doubled Geometry

In [24] a sigma model was proposed in which locally geometric T^{D-1} fibrations over a circle, with coordinate $x \sim x + 1$, could be partially understood geometrically. In this approach

⁶The B -field is not globally defined, indicating a non-trivial field strength $dB = H_{xab} dx \wedge dz^a \wedge dz^b + \dots$

the T^{D-1} coordinates⁷ z^a are packaged together with the coordinates \tilde{z}_a on the dual torus into $\mathbb{X}^I = (z^a, \tilde{z}_a)$, where I runs from 1 to $2D - 2$. The sigma model of [24] then describes a world-sheet embedding into a $2D - 1$ dimensional background with coordinates (x, \mathbb{X}^I) where x is again the base circle coordinate and the \mathbb{X}^I are coordinates on the doubled torus fibre. The doubled torus fibre has metric \mathcal{M}_{IJ} given in (3.2) and generally depends on x . The correct number of physical degrees of freedom are ensured by the imposition of the constraint

$$d\mathbb{X}^I = L^{IJ} \mathcal{M}_{JK}(x) * d\mathbb{X}^K + \dots \quad (1.7)$$

where L_{IJ} is the invariant of $O(D - 1, D - 1)$ and the ellipsis denotes terms involving other target space coordinates. The details of this construction are given in [24]. The doubled formalism has proved to be a very useful tool in elucidating the structure of non-geometric backgrounds and T-duality for various locally geometric torus fibrations however, as demonstrated in [28], it has many limitations. In particular, the doubled torus formalism gives a geometric interpretation for the monodromy of the fibration - as a large diffeomorphism of the doubled geometry - but does not give a geometric interpretation of the gauge algebra (1.5). It is also unsuitable for various backgrounds of interest which are not torus fibrations, in particular there is no way of incorporating any but the simplest Poisson-Lie backgrounds into the doubled torus formalism as the general Poisson-Lie background is not a torus fibration. In [29] a sigma model in which all embedding coordinates are doubled was introduced so that $(x, z^a) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}^I = (x, \tilde{x}, z^a, \tilde{z}_a)$. As we shall discuss in section three, this model and a chiral version of it describes, not only all backgrounds which satisfy (1.2), but also generalisations which include H - and R -fluxes. The study of such backgrounds and their associated sigma models is the subject of this paper.

In the next section we discuss certain geometric and algebraic structures relating to backgrounds that satisfy the conditions (1.2) and the associated doubled geometries. Particular emphasis is placed on the relationship between bi-algebras on $T \oplus T^*$ and Lie algebras on the doubled space. Section three begins by reviewing the doubled worldsheet formalism introduced by Klimčík and Severa in [12] and it is shown that this formalism is a generalisation of a doubled formalism introduced by Tseytlin in [30]. Similar observations have been made in [31]. We also consider the sigma model introduced in [29] on the doubled space which generalises the sigma model of [24] and overcomes the deficiencies of the sigma model of [12] by allowing the inclusion of H - and R -fluxes. Further details of some of the calculations are to be found in the Appendices.

One of the aims of this paper is to present a clear example of a string theory in which the target space can be explicitly treated from both the perspective of generalised geometry and the doubled formalism reviewed above. Generalised geometry is a powerful tool for studying

⁷For a T^{D-1} background the z^a would be conjugate to momentum modes and the \tilde{z}_a would be conjugate to winding modes. However, it is not clear what the analogue of momentum and winding modes are for the more general examples considered later.

string backgrounds that include Riemannian target spaces as it is usually less difficult to construct the generalised bundle $T \oplus T^*$ than identify the correct doubled geometry. By contrast, the doubled geometry associated to backgrounds is unknown except for the very simplest cases. The power of the doubled formalism lies in its ability to explicitly describe non-geometric backgrounds, whereas we can currently only make somewhat vague assertions about non-geometric backgrounds from the perspective of structures on $T \oplus T^*$. It is hoped that an analysis of examples where we can understand the background in both descriptions, such as presented here, will allow both perspectives to be generalised with confidence. Some hints at what such a generalisation may entail are discussed in the conclusion.

2 Generalised geometry and doubled geometry

The aim of this section is to provide a concise review of the algebraic and geometric structures that define the Poisson-Lie target spaces discussed in later sections which will clarify the relationship of the sigma model in [11] with the doubled formalism of [29]. The review is divided into three main parts. The starting point is the group manifold G and the study of algebraic structures which can be defined on the generalised tangent bundle $TG \oplus T^*G$. It will be shown how a compatible Poisson structure on G allows an algebraic structure, analogous to the Lie bracket on TG , to be defined on T^*G . In the second part, an equivalent description of the algebraic structures on $TG \oplus T^*G$ in terms of a doubled Lie algebra structure on doubled group $\mathcal{G} = G \bowtie \tilde{G}$, where the Lie bracket on $T\tilde{G}$ is related to the Poisson bracket on G , is considered. The spirit of these two complementary approaches is indicative of the approaches to non-geometric backgrounds in string theory where one may use the $T \oplus T^*$ approach of [32, 33, 34] or alternatively the doubled geometry approach of [23, 24, 28]. The connection between these approaches is only understood in certain limited examples and it is hoped that this section will at least elucidate the relationship between them for Poisson-Lie target spaces. The third part of this section will consider explicit structures on the doubled geometry and the action of the group $O(D, D)$. This last section will allow contact to be made with the doubled formalisms of [30] and [24] in the next section, where sigma models on these backgrounds are considered. Appendix A contains an explicit example which demonstrates some of the issues discussed in this section.

The focus of the discussion will be on those structures that play a significant role in string theory and many details of the constructions and definitions will be glossed over or omitted entirely. In particular the discussion will be tailored to suit the considerations of the sigma models in later sections. The interested reader may find further details in the general references [35, 36] and also the original papers [37, 38, 39, 40].

2.1 The geometry of Lie groups

The starting point is a D dimensional Lie group G with group multiplication $m : G \times G \rightarrow G$. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G has a natural bracket, the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ which encodes the local structure of G . Let $\{T_m\}$ be a basis for a $D \times D$ matrix representation of \mathfrak{g} , and the Lie algebra may be written

$$[T_m, T_n] = f_{mn}^p T_p \quad (2.1)$$

where the associativity of the Lie group requires that the structure constants satisfy the Jacobi identity $f_{[mn}^q f_{p]q}^t = 0$.

There is a natural action of $G_L \times G_R$ of the group acting from the left (G_L) and the right (G_R), on the group manifold G given by $G_L : g \rightarrow hg$ on the left and $G_R : g \rightarrow gh$ on the right, where h is a constant element of G . For a bi-invariant metric, such as the Cartan-Killing metric $\eta_{mn} = \frac{1}{2} f_{mp}^q f_{nq}^p$, the action of $G_L \times G_R$ is isometric. At any point $g \in G$ we can define left and right-invariant one-forms $\ell = g^{-1} dg$ and $r = dg g^{-1}$ respectively. These take values in $\mathfrak{g} \otimes T^*G$ and may be written as $\ell = \ell^m T_m$ and $r = r^m T_m$ where $\ell^m = \ell^m_i(x) dx^i$ and $r^m = r^m_i(x) dx^i$. The x^i are local coordinates on G , the index i running from 1 to D and these one forms are globally defined on G . The algebraic structure of G is encoded in the Maurer-Cartan structure equations

$$d\ell^m + \frac{1}{2} f_{np}^m \ell^n \wedge \ell^p = 0 \quad dr^m - \frac{1}{2} f_{np}^m r^n \wedge r^p = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

It is in this way that the forms ℓ^m and r^m encode information about the local structure of G . The natural pairing between vectors and one-forms defines an invariant inner product $(|\cdot) : TG \times T^*G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $(dx^i | \partial_j) = \delta^i_j$. Left- and right-invariant vector fields K_m and \tilde{K}_m , dual to the one-forms ℓ^m and r^m respectively, can be defined which satisfy

$$(\ell^m | K_n) = \delta^m_n \quad (r^m | \tilde{K}_n) = \delta^m_n$$

from which it is easy to show

$$K_m = (\ell^{-1})_m^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \quad \tilde{K}_m = (r^{-1})_m^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$$

The vector fields K_m and \tilde{K}_m are invariant under the rigid action of G_L and G_R respectively⁸ and are globally defined on G . Both the left and the right-invariant vector fields $K_m, \tilde{K}_m \in TG$ each satisfy the Lie algebra commutation relations (2.1). The full isometry algebra $G_L \times G_R$, of the group manifold G is generated by the vector fields K_m and \tilde{K}_m

$$[K_m, K_n] = f_{mn}^p K_p \quad [K_m, \tilde{K}_n] = 0 \quad [\tilde{K}_m, \tilde{K}_n] = -f_{mn}^p \tilde{K}_p \quad (2.3)$$

⁸Note that $K_m (\tilde{K}_m)$ is invariant under the rigid action of G_L (G_R) and generates the infinitesimal action of G_R (G_L), i.e. the left (right)-invariant generators are generators of the right (left) action.

2.1.1 Example: Torus bundle

As an example consider the group generated by

$$[T_x, T_a] = -N_a^b T_b \quad [T_a, T_b] = 0$$

where $a, b = 1, 2, \dots, D-1$. This algebra can be represented by the $D \times D$ matrices

$$T_x = \begin{pmatrix} -N_a^b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad T_a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where e_a is the $D-1$ -dimensional column vector with a 1 in the a 'th position and zeros everywhere else.

This group is non-compact but can be compactified by identifying by an action of a discrete subgroup [15]. The manifold is then of the form G/Γ_G where the discrete subgroup $\Gamma_G \subset G_L$ acts from the left and is chosen such that G/Γ_G is compact. Such a Γ_G , where it exists, is called cocompact and the space G/Γ_G is generally not a group manifold. G/Γ_G is often, somewhat misleadingly, called a twisted torus, an example of which is the dimensional nilmanifold. As a manifold, G/Γ_G can be constructed as a T^{D-1} bundle over a circle with a monodromy in the mapping class group⁹ of T^{D-1} . One could equivalently consider actions of a cocompact group from the right to define a manifold $G/\tilde{\Gamma}_G$ where $\tilde{\Gamma}_G \subset G_R$. The convention here will be to consider the cocompact group to act from the left. Such global issues were investigated in [29].

This group can be viewed as a T^{D-1} fibred over S^1 with monodromy given by N_b^a . Coordinates $x^i = (x, z^a)$ can be introduced locally for the group manifold G , where z^a are coordinates on the T^{D-1} fibre and x is the coordinate along the base circle. A general element of the group $g \in G$ may then be given by

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-Nx})^a_b & z^a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.4)$$

and the left-invariant Maurer-Cartan forms, $\ell = g^{-1}dg$ are given by

$$\ell^x = dx \quad \ell^a = (e^{-Nx})^a_b dz^b \quad (2.5)$$

The ℓ^m are dual to the left-invariant vector fields

$$K_x = (e^{Nx})_a^b \partial_b \quad K_a = \partial_a$$

which generate the left-invariant part of the gauge algebra (2.3). Right-invariant one-forms $r = dg g^{-1}$ may also be defined

$$r^x = dx \quad r^a = dz^a + N_a^b z^b dx \quad (2.6)$$

⁹The discrete sub-group of diffeomorphisms not connected to the identity.

which are dual to the right-invariant vector fields

$$\tilde{K}_x = \partial_x - N_a{}^b z^a \partial_b \quad \tilde{K}_a = \partial_a$$

The global structure of G/Γ_G is given by the identifications of the coordinates

$$x \sim x + \alpha \quad z^a \sim (e^{N\alpha})^a{}_b z^b + \beta^a$$

where α and β^a are constants which specify Γ_G . Since Γ_G acts from the left, the left-invariant one-forms ℓ^m and vectors K_m are globally defined on G/Γ_G whereas the right-invariant r^m and \tilde{K}_m generally will not be.

2.2 Bi-algebra structures

The Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot] : TG \times TG \rightarrow G$ and exterior derivative $d : T^*G \rightarrow T^*G \times T^*G$ allow one to encode the algebraic structure of \mathfrak{g} in terms of either vectors (2.3) or one-forms (2.2); however, there is a clear asymmetry and one may wonder if operations $[\cdot, \cdot]^* : T^*G \times T^*G \rightarrow T^*G$ and $\delta : TG \rightarrow TG \times TG$ can be defined to make the action of the set of maps more symmetric. This can be easily achieved if the group manifold has, in addition, a Poisson structure that is compatible with the group action. Such groups are often called Poisson-Lie groups [35, 36]. A Poisson structure defines a bilinear map $\{\cdot, \cdot\} : C^\infty(G) \times C^\infty(G) \rightarrow C^\infty(G)$ - the Poisson bracket - which may be written as

$$\{f, f'\} = \pi^{ij} \partial_i f \partial_j f' \quad (2.7)$$

where $f, f' \in C^\infty(G)$ are functions on the group manifold and π^{ij} defines a Poisson bi-vector

$$\pi = \frac{1}{2} \pi^{ij} \partial_i \wedge \partial_j$$

so that (2.7) may then be written as $\{f, f'\} = (\pi | df \wedge df')$. A smooth map $\mathcal{F} : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ between Poisson manifolds G_1 and G_2 is a Poisson map if it preserves the Poisson brackets on G_1 and G_2 , i.e. if

$$\{\mathcal{F}(f_1), \mathcal{F}(f'_1)\}_2 = \mathcal{F}(\{f_1, f'_1\}_1)$$

where $f_1, f'_1 \in C^\infty(G_1)$ and $\mathcal{F}(f_1), \mathcal{F}(f'_1) \in C^\infty(G_2)$. $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_1$ and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_2$ are Poisson brackets on G_1 and G_2 respectively. A Poisson-Lie group is a Lie group with a compatible Poisson structure, i.e. the group multiplication $m : G \times G \rightarrow G$ is a Poisson map.

2.2.1 Lie bi-algebras

Let $df(e), df'(e) \in T^*G|_e$ be one-forms evaluated at the identity (e) given by the functions $f, f' \in C^\infty(G)$. A Poisson structure on G induces a bracket, at the identity, $[\cdot, \cdot]^* : T^*G \times T^*G \rightarrow T^*G$ as

$$[df(e), df'(e)]^* = (d\{f, f'\})(e)$$

More generally, we can write $[\ell^m(e), \ell^n(e)]^* = d(\pi|\ell^m \wedge \ell^n)(e)$. Using $(\pi|\ell^m \wedge \ell^n) = \pi^{ij} \ell^m_i \ell^n_j$ and using that $\pi^{ij}(e) = 0$ one can write

$$[\ell^m(e), \ell^n(e)]^* = (d\pi^{ij} \ell^m_i \ell^n_j)(e)$$

If we then define

$$((\ell^{-1})_p)^k \partial_k \pi^{ij} \ell^n_i \ell^p_j (e) = c_p^{mn}$$

where c_m^{np} is a constant as the expression is evaluated at the identity. At the identity $g = e$ the co-bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]^*$ may then be defined as $[\ell^m(e), \ell^n(e)]^* = c_p^{mn} \ell^p(e)$. The definition of this bracket at the identity may then be extended globally by the action of the group to give

$$[\ell^m, \ell^n]^* = c_p^{mn} \ell^p$$

The bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]^*$ can be associated, via the inner product $(|\cdot\rangle)$, to a derivation $\delta : TG \rightarrow TG \times TG$ as $(K_m|[\ell^n, \ell^p]^*) = (\delta K_m|\ell^n \wedge \ell^p) = c_m^{np}$. It is not hard to show that

$$\delta K_m + \frac{1}{2} c_m^{np} K_n \wedge K_p = 0$$

The set $(TG \oplus T^*G, [\cdot, \cdot], [\cdot, \cdot]^*)$ defines a bi-algebra arising from the Lie algebra structures $(TG, [\cdot, \cdot])$ and $(T^*G, [\cdot, \cdot]^*)$. It follows that there is a natural bracket on $TG \oplus T^*G$. The adjoint action of G on TG is given by the Lie bracket $Ad_K K' = \mathcal{L}_{K'} K = [K, K']$. The dual of this adjoint action, with respect to the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) is the co-adjoint action Ad^* of G on T^*G , where

$$(\ell|Ad_K K') = -(Ad_K^* \ell|K')$$

Using the basis introduced above, this may be written as

$$Ad_{K_m} K_n = [K_m, K_n] = f_{mn}^p K_p \quad Ad_{K_m}^* \ell^n = \mathcal{L}_{K_m} \ell^n = -f_{mp}^n \ell^p$$

Similarly one can define [40] $Ad_\ell \ell' = [\ell, \ell']^*$ and the dual operation with respect to the inner product

$$(Ad_\ell \ell'|K) = -(\ell'|Ad_\ell^* K)$$

Let $[\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{d}$ denote the bracket on $TG \oplus T^*G$ where $[K, K']_\mathfrak{d} = [K, K']$ and $[\ell, \ell']_\mathfrak{d} = [\ell, \ell']^*$ then, using the fact that the inner product $(|\cdot\rangle)$ is invariant under the adjoint action, it is not hard to show that $([\ell, K]_\mathfrak{d}|K') = -(Ad_K^* \ell|K')$ and $([\ell, K]_\mathfrak{d}|\ell') = (Ad_\ell^* K|\ell')$ so that the cross term for the bracket is

$$[\ell, K]_\mathfrak{d} = Ad_\ell^* K - Ad_K^* \ell$$

In terms of the basis introduced here

$$Ad_{\ell^m} \ell^n = [\ell^m, \ell^n]^* = c_p^{mn} \ell^p \quad Ad_{\ell^m} K_n = \mathcal{L}_{\ell^m} K_n = -c_n^{mp} K_p$$

From now on, the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{d}$ will simply be referred to as $[\cdot, \cdot]$.

For π^{ij} a constant, and so $c_m{}^{np} = 0$, the appropriate bracket on $TG \oplus T^*G$ is the Courant bracket [41]. For $c_m{}^{np} \neq 0$ the natural bracket is a generalization of the Courant bracket given by

$$[K + \ell, K' + \ell'] = [K, K'] + [\ell, \ell']^* - Ad_K^* \ell' + Ad_{K'}^* \ell + Ad_{\ell'}^* K - Ad_{\ell}^* K' \quad (2.8)$$

where Ad_K^* is the usual adjoint action of G on TG and Ad_{ℓ}^* is the co-adjoint action of G on T^*G .

Recall that the canonical pairing between forms ℓ^m and vectors K_m on $TG \oplus T^*G$ defines a natural inner product $(|)$ on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$. For two generalized vectors $Y = \ell + K$ and $Y' = \ell' + K'$ in $TG \oplus T^*G$ the inner product is

$$(Y|Y') = (\ell|K') + (\ell'|K)$$

This defines an $O(D, D)$ structure on $TG \oplus T^*G$ which preserves the inner product.

2.3 Drinfel'd Doubles, Manin Triples and Doubled Geometry

The definition of a Lie bi-algebra given above hides the symmetric role that TG and T^*G play. A more symmetric description is given in terms of Drinfel'd doubles and Manin triples. A Drinfel'd double is a Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} with inner product such that

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$$

where the sub-algebras \mathfrak{g} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ are maximally isotropic with respect to the inner product. The Drinfel'd double \mathfrak{h} can be integrated up to give a Lie-group $\mathcal{G} = G \bowtie \tilde{G}$, for which the vectors of the tangent space satisfy the commutation relations of the Drinfel'd double $T\mathcal{G}$ (1.3) [39, 40]. Consider the Lie algebra $T\tilde{G}$ associated with T^*G where the Lie bracket on $T\tilde{G}$ is associated with the dual bracket $[,]^*$ described above. Let X^m be a \mathcal{G}_L -invariant basis for $T\tilde{G}$ so that the dual algebra may be written as

$$[X^m, X^n] = c^{mn}{}_p X^p$$

and there is a similar commutator for the right-invariant basis with elements \tilde{X}^m . The left-invariant \mathcal{Z}_M and right-invariant $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_M$ vectors may then be written as

$$\mathcal{Z}_M = \begin{pmatrix} Z_m \\ X^m \end{pmatrix} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_M = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{Z}_m \\ \tilde{X}^m \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.9)$$

where Z_m and \tilde{Z}_m are the lifts of the left and right-invariant isometry generators K_m and \tilde{K}_m in TG to $T\mathcal{G}$. The full Lie-algebra of \mathcal{G} is then $[\mathcal{Z}_M, \mathcal{Z}_N] = t_{MN}{}^P \mathcal{Z}_P$, or in terms of the left-invariant generators of the maximally isotropic sub-algebras G_L and \tilde{G}_L

$$[Z_m, Z_n] = f_{mn}{}^p Z_p \quad [Z_m, X^n] = c^{np}{}_m Z_p - f_{mp}{}^n X^p \quad [X^m, X^n] = c^{mn}{}_p X^p$$

There is a similar set of commutators for the right-invariant generators $(\tilde{Z}_m, \tilde{X}^m)$ of G_R and \tilde{G}_R . Associativity of \mathcal{G} requires that the structure constants $t_{MN}{}^P$ satisfy¹⁰

$$f_{[mn}{}^q f_{p]q}{}^t = 0 \quad c^{[mn}{}_q c^{p]q}{}_t = 0 \quad f_{qp}{}^m c^{rs}{}_m = 4c^{m[s}{}_{[p} f_{q]m}{}^{r]}$$

Left-invariant one-forms \mathcal{P}^M , dual to the left-invariant vectors \mathcal{Z}_M , can be defined in terms of the elements $h \in \mathcal{G}$ of the doubled group where

$$(\mathcal{P}^M | \mathcal{Z}_N) = \delta^M_N \quad \mathcal{P}^M = (h^{-1} dh)^M$$

Similarly, one can define right-invariant vectors $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^M = (dh h^{-1})^M$ dual $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_M$. In terms of the local coordinates \mathbb{X}^I on \mathcal{G} , where I runs from 1 to $2D$, the one-forms can be written as

$$\mathcal{P}^M = \mathcal{P}^M{}_I d\mathbb{X}^I \quad \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^M = \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^M{}_I d\mathbb{X}^I \quad (2.10)$$

and the corresponding vector fields are

$$\mathcal{Z}_M = (\mathcal{P}^{-1})_M{}^I \partial_I \quad \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_M = (\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-1})_M{}^I \partial_I$$

where ∂_I denotes partial differentiation with respect to \mathbb{X}^I .

The bi-algebra describes structures on $T \oplus T^*$ and is a specific case of the more general field of generalised geometry [43, 44]. By contrast, the Drinfel'd double replaces $T \oplus T^*$ with the Lie algebra structures on a doubled group \mathcal{G} and falls into the general scheme of the doubled formalism approach, often used in the discussion of non-geometric backgrounds in string theory [24, 28]. The connection between the two approaches is simple in this case and is fixed by the constraint $(\mathcal{P}^M | \mathcal{P}^N) = L^{MN}$. This condition allows forms in $T^* \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ to be identified with vectors in $T\mathcal{G}$ and so the $2D$ forms on the double $T^* \mathcal{G}$ may be re-expressed in terms of generalised vectors on $T\mathcal{G} \oplus T^* \mathcal{G}$ (see [23] for some worked examples). A simple example is $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{R}^{2D}$, then $(d\mathbb{X}^I | d\mathbb{X}^J) = L^{IJ}$ gives $(dx^i | d\tilde{x}_j) = \delta^i_j$ therefore $d\tilde{x}_i$ can be identified with ∂_i and the description in terms of $T^* \mathcal{G}$ is replaced with an equivalent description in terms of $T\mathcal{G} \oplus T^* \mathcal{G}$. The constructions of doubled formalisms in more general backgrounds, which do not have such a Lie group structure, and the relationship with generalised geometry in such cases has been discussed in [23].

2.4 Manin triples and polarizations

A Manin triple is a set of Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ with an inner product such that

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$$

¹⁰The convention for symmetrization and anti-symmetrization of indices is with weight one, for example: $A^{[mn]} = \frac{1}{2}(A^{mn} - A^{nm})$ and $A^{(mn)} = \frac{1}{2}(A^{mn} + A^{nm})$.

and \mathfrak{g} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ are maximally isotropic sub-algebras of \mathfrak{h} with respect to that inner product. Let $\{T_m\}$ ($\{\tilde{T}^m\}$) be a basis of matrix generators for the sub-algebra \mathfrak{g} ($\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$) and $\langle | \rangle$ an inner product such that

$$\langle T_m | T_n \rangle = 0 \quad \langle \tilde{T}^m | \tilde{T}^n \rangle = 0 \quad \langle T_m | \tilde{T}^n \rangle = \delta_m^n \quad (2.11)$$

The inner product is adjoint-invariant $\langle g^{-1}Ag | B \rangle = \langle A | gBg^{-1} \rangle$ and defines an $O(D, D)$ structure on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. In this representation the Drinfel'd double may be written

$$[T_m, T_n] = f_{mn}^p T_p \quad [T_m, \tilde{T}^n] = c^{np}_m T_p - f_{mp}^n \tilde{T}^p \quad [\tilde{T}^m, \tilde{T}^n] = c^{mn}_p \tilde{T}^p$$

The Drinfel'd double $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ may be decomposed in many different ways into many different Manin triples $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1) = (\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}_2, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2) = \dots$. The possibility of many inequivalent decompositions leads to the notion of plurality [42], involving many different pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$, described by the same Drinfel'd double. Given an algebra \mathfrak{h} , the choice of a Manin triple is equivalent to choosing a polarization of the Lie algebra in the sense of [28]. Thus different Manin triples select different sub-spaces in \mathcal{G} which we identify with physical space-time G . An example is $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{R}^{2D}$ where the different Manin triples - different ways of embedding $T^D \subset T^{2D}$ - are related to each other by the action of $O(D, D; \mathbb{Z})$ which is identified as T-duality. For any given Manin triple $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ there exists at least one other triple $(\mathfrak{h}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{g})$ given by exchanging \mathfrak{g} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. This \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry of \mathfrak{h} is the source of the Poisson-Lie map.

The group G is recovered from the double \mathcal{G} by the left quotient $G = \mathcal{G}/\tilde{G}_L$. The quotient restricts to the subspace which is invariant under the action of \tilde{X}^m - the generators of \tilde{G}_L . On the coset $G = \mathcal{G}/\tilde{G}_L$ the group \tilde{G}_L has no action and the left-invariant generators Z_m reduce to the isometry generators K_m of the group G . The coset is taken from the left and even though there is no action of \tilde{G}_L on \mathcal{G}/\tilde{G}_L , there may still be an action of \tilde{G}_R on \mathcal{G}/\tilde{G}_L . In fact, the generators X^m of \tilde{G}_R generate the isotropy group of the coset $G = \mathcal{G}/\tilde{G}_L$. Alternatively, one could consider the Manin triple which exchanges G with \tilde{G} and recover \tilde{G} from \mathcal{G} as the left-acting coset $\tilde{G} = \mathcal{G}/G_L$. In the following sections examples of complementary Manin triples $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $(\mathfrak{h}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{g})$ will be considered and sigma models describing a world-sheet embedding into \mathcal{G}/\tilde{G}_L and \mathcal{G}/G_L constructed. The map between these sigma models on \mathcal{G}/\tilde{G}_L and \mathcal{G}/G_L is the Poisson-Lie map. This map is often, erroneously, referred to as a T-duality but, as stated in the introduction, it is generally not a duality of the sigma model and so the term Poisson-Lie *map* will be used instead.

2.5 Adjoint actions on the double

Once a Manin triple (or polarization) $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ has been chosen, one may meaningfully distinguish between the sets of generators T_m and \tilde{T}^m . The generators for the double, in the matrix representation, are

$$T_M = \begin{pmatrix} T_m \\ \tilde{T}^m \end{pmatrix}$$

which generate the Lie-algebra of \mathcal{G}

$$[T_M, T_N] = t_{MN}{}^P T_P$$

It is helpful to use a bra-ket notation in which a basis element of the Lie algebra is represented as $|T_M\rangle$, an operator on the vector space as $|T_M\rangle\langle T_N|$ and so on. The inner product $\langle | \rangle$ to which the two Lie sub-algebras, considered as vector spaces, are null may then be written as

$$\langle T_M | T_N \rangle = L_{MN}$$

where L_{MN} is a metric on \mathcal{G} relating vectors $| \rangle$ and their duals $\langle |$ and is the invariant of $O(D, D)$

$$L_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I}_d \\ \mathbb{I}_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

An orthonormal basis may be defined in terms of the dual vector $\langle T^M | = \langle T_N | L^{MN}$ so that $\langle T^M | T_N \rangle = \delta^M_N$.

The adjoint action of G on the Lie algebra $T\mathcal{G}$ is given by ¹¹

$$g^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} T_m \\ \tilde{T}^m \end{pmatrix} g = \begin{pmatrix} A_m{}^n & 0 \\ \beta^{mn} & (A^{-1})^m{}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T_n \\ \tilde{T}^n \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.12)$$

and similarly for the adjoint action of the \tilde{G} on $T\mathcal{G}$

$$\tilde{g}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} T_m \\ \tilde{T}^m \end{pmatrix} \tilde{g} = \begin{pmatrix} (\tilde{A}^{-1})_m{}^n & \tilde{\beta}_{mn} \\ 0 & \tilde{A}^m{}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T_n \\ \tilde{T}^n \end{pmatrix}$$

Requiring that $\beta^{mn} = -\beta^{nm}$, ensures that the adjoint matrix is an element of $O(D, D)$. This can be written as

$$g^{-1} T_M g = \mathcal{O}_M{}^N T_N \quad \tilde{g}^{-1} T_M \tilde{g} = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_M{}^N T_N$$

where \mathcal{O} and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ are elements of $O(D, D)$ and depend on g and \tilde{g} respectively. Furthermore the condition $\langle \tilde{T}^m | \tilde{T}^n \rangle = \langle g^{-1} \tilde{T}^m g | g^{-1} \tilde{T}^n g \rangle = 2(A^{-1})^{(m}{}_p \beta^{n)p} = 0$ implies that the combination $(A^{-1})^m{}_p \beta^{np}$ is anti-symmetric. In fact, one can simply define a pair of Poisson structures on G and \tilde{G}

$$\pi^{mn}(g) = (A^{-1})^m{}_p \beta^{np} \quad \tilde{\pi}_{mn}(\tilde{g}) = (A^{-1})_m{}^p \tilde{\beta}_{np} \quad (2.13)$$

These Poisson structures are compatible with the Lie group structures on G and \tilde{G} respectively. Note that, at the identity $\beta^{mn}(e) = \tilde{\beta}_{mn}(e) = 0$, so that π^{-1} and $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}$ do not exist globally and so the Poisson-Lie manifolds are not symplectic [35, 40]. It is not too hard to show that the derivative of the Poisson structure, evaluated at the identity, gives the structure constants of the double. For example, using the fact that $\beta^{mn}(e) = 0$, it is simple to show that $\partial_i \pi^{mn}|_e = \partial_i \beta^{mn}|_e$. From the above definition $\beta^{mn} = \langle g^{-1} \tilde{T}^m g | \tilde{T}^n \rangle$

$$\partial_i \beta^{mn} = \ell^q{}_i (\beta^{mp} f_{pq}{}^n - (A^{-1})_p{}^m c_q{}^{pn}) \quad (2.14)$$

¹¹The condition $\langle T_m | \tilde{T}^n \rangle = \langle g^{-1} T_m g | g^{-1} \tilde{T}^n g \rangle = \delta_m{}^n$ has been used to simplify the expression.

so that $(\ell^{-1})_p^i \partial_i \pi^{mn}|_e = (\ell^{-1})_p^i \partial_i \beta^{mn}|_e = -c_p^{mn}$ as anticipated. The adjoint action of G maps the right-invariant r onto the left-invariant ℓ since $g^{-1}rg = \ell$. In components this reads $r^m g^{-1} T_m g = \ell^m T_m = r^m A_m{}^n T_n$, so that $r^n{}_i A_n{}^m = \ell^m{}_i$. The adjoint action of G may be written simply as

$$A_m{}^n(g) = (r^{-1})^i{}_m \ell^n{}_i$$

Similarly for the adjoint action of \tilde{G}

$$\tilde{A}^m{}_n(\tilde{g}) = (\tilde{r}^{-1})^m{}_i \ell_n{}^i$$

2.6 Metrics

The inner product L_{MN} , with signature $(D, -D)$ reduces the structure group of the double to $O(D, D) \subset GL(2D)$. The structure group may be further reduced to $O(D) \times O(D) \subset O(D, D)$ by choosing a D -dimensional sub-bundle in $TG \oplus T\tilde{G}$ on which the inner product is positive definite. Denoting such a choice of sub-bundle by \mathcal{E}^+ and its complement in $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by \mathcal{E}^- , then there is a splitting

$$\mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathcal{E}^+ \oplus \mathcal{E}^-$$

This splitting defines a linear map $\mathcal{R} : \mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. \mathcal{R} is idempotent, i.e $\mathcal{R}^2 = 1$ and thus defines an almost product structure. The two eigenspaces \mathcal{E}^+ (\mathcal{E}^-) are positive (negative) definite with respect to the inner product L and are associated with the eigenvalues ± 1 of \mathcal{R} . More importantly, the eigenspaces \mathcal{E}^\pm are the graph of $g \pm B : \tilde{T} \mapsto T$ and may be written as

$$\mathcal{E}^+ = \text{Span}\{T_m + E_{mn} \tilde{T}^n\} \quad \mathcal{E}^- = \text{Span}\{T_m - E_{mn}^t \tilde{T}^n\}$$

where $E_{mn} = g_{mn} + B_{mn}$ is the background tensor evaluated at the identity and is therefore independent of the local coordinates \mathbb{X}^I . $E_{mn}^t = E_{nm} = g_{mn} - B_{mn}$ denotes the transpose. $\text{Span}\{\dots\}$ can thought of the minimal set of possible linear combinations of the elements (the set is taken to be minimal so that it forms a basis). Let $|\mathcal{E}^\pm_m\rangle$ denote bases for the eigenspaces \mathcal{E}^\pm given by

$$|\mathcal{E}^+_m\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|T_m\rangle + E_{mn} |\tilde{T}^n\rangle \right) \quad |\mathcal{E}^-_m\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|T_m\rangle - E_{nm} |\tilde{T}^n\rangle \right)$$

It is also useful to define

$$|\mathcal{E}^{\pm m}\rangle = g^{mn} |\mathcal{E}^\pm_n\rangle$$

Using the properties (2.11) of the inner product on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, the normalisation of this basis is

$$\langle \mathcal{E}^{\pm m} | \mathcal{E}^\pm_n \rangle = \pm \delta^m{}_n \quad \langle \mathcal{E}^+_m | \mathcal{E}^-_n \rangle = 0$$

so that the eigenspaces are indeed pseudo-orthonormal - the inner product is positive (negative) definite on the subspaces \mathcal{E}^+ (\mathcal{E}^-). The explicit form of the product structure \mathcal{R} can be written in terms of this basis

$$\mathcal{R} = |\mathcal{E}^{+m}\rangle \langle \mathcal{E}^+_m| + |\mathcal{E}^{-m}\rangle \langle \mathcal{E}^-_m|$$

Note also that the $2D \times 2D$ identity matrix can be written in this basis as

$$\mathbb{I} = |\mathcal{E}^{+m}\rangle\langle\mathcal{E}^+_m| - |\mathcal{E}^{-m}\rangle\langle\mathcal{E}^-_m|$$

The matrix representation of the linear and idempotent map \mathcal{R} is given by

$$\mathcal{R}^M{}_N = \langle T^M | \mathcal{R} | T_N \rangle$$

and a metric $\mathcal{M}_{MN} = L_{MP} \mathcal{R}^P{}_N$ may be defined and written as

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \langle T_M | \mathcal{R} | T_N \rangle$$

It is actually the metric \mathcal{M} , which takes values in the coset $O(D, D)/O(D) \times O(D)$, that plays a more fundamental role in the later sections of the paper. The determination of its explicit form in terms of the background metric and B -field is given in Appendix C and the result is quoted here

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{mn} + B_{mp}g^{pq}B_{qn} & g^{np}B_{pm} \\ g^{mp}B_{pn} & g^{mn} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.15)$$

The corresponding product structure is given by

$$\mathcal{R}^M{}_N = \begin{pmatrix} g^{mp}B_{pn} & g_{mn} + B_{mp}g^{pq}B_{qn} \\ g^{mn} & g^{np}B_{pm} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.16)$$

The action of $O(D, D)$ on \mathcal{M} has a natural expression in terms of the adjoint action of G (see Appendix D for further details)

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN}(g) = \langle g^{-1}T_M g | \mathcal{R} | g^{-1}T_N g \rangle = \mathcal{O}_M{}^P(g) \mathcal{M}_{PQ}(e) \mathcal{O}^Q{}_N(g) \quad (2.17)$$

Let $\mathcal{M}(E)$ be the value of the metric \mathcal{M} evaluated with entries $g_{mn} = E_{(mn)}$ and $B_{mn} = E_{[mn]}$, then the natural action of $O(D, D)$ on the background is $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{O}^T$, where $\mathcal{O} \in O(D, D)$ is comprised of the following parts:

$GL(D)$ Transformations

$$\mathcal{O}_A = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & (A^{-1})^T \end{pmatrix} \quad g \rightarrow AgA^T \quad B \rightarrow ABA^T$$

where $A \in GL(D)$. The metric on the double then transforms under \mathcal{O}_A as

$$\mathcal{O}_A \mathcal{M}(E) \mathcal{O}_A^T = \mathcal{M}(AEA^T)$$

b Transformations

$$\mathcal{O}_b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad g \rightarrow g \quad B \rightarrow B + b$$

where $b \in T^*G \wedge T^*G$. The metric on the double then transforms under \mathcal{O}_b as

$$\mathcal{O}_b \mathcal{M}(E) \mathcal{O}_b^T = \mathcal{M}(E + b)$$

β Transformations

$$\mathcal{O}_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\beta & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad E \rightarrow (E^{-1} + \beta)^{-1}$$

where $\beta \in TG \wedge TG$. The metric on the double then transforms under \mathcal{O}_β as

$$\mathcal{O}_\beta \mathcal{M}(E) \mathcal{O}_\beta^T = \mathcal{M}((E^{-1} + \beta)^{-1}) = \mathcal{M}^{-1}(E^{-1} + \beta)$$

This coincides with the action of $O(D, D)$ on $T \oplus T^*$ studied in [43, 44].

2.7 Twisted tori and the global structure of the double

So far the group \mathcal{G} has only been defined in terms of its Lie algebra - the Drinfel'd double - and therefore only its local structure has been specified. For the considerations in the following sections, the target space need only locally be a group and globally it may have the form [15]

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{G}/\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$$

where $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a discrete sub-group of \mathcal{G}_L such that \mathcal{X} is compact where $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ acts from the left. As discussed above, such spaces are often called twisted tori and this nomenclature, though misleading, has now become standard and will be adopted here. Both left and right-invariant objects will be globally defined on \mathcal{G} but only the left-invariant objects will generally be globally defined on \mathcal{X} . For the most part we shall ignore such global issues as they are treated at length in [29] and the analysis there can straightforwardly be applied to all cases considered here.

2.8 H-twisted bi-algebras

As discussed further in Appendix A, the natural (bi-algebra) bracket on $TG \oplus T^*G$ for the case in which the Poisson structure on G is trivial ($\pi = 0$) is the Courant bracket [41]. In this section it is shown that the H -twisted Courant bracket [43, 44] is equivalent to the H -twisted Drinfel'd double \mathfrak{h}_H . A natural basis of left-invariant one-forms and vectors on $TG \oplus T^*G$ is given by $K_m = (\ell^{-1})_m^i \partial_i \in TG$ and $\ell^m = \ell^m_i dx^i \in T^*G$. Consider a general element of $TG \oplus T^*G$

$$Y = \xi^m K_m + \eta_m \ell^m \in TG \oplus T^*G$$

where

$$\iota_m \ell^n = (K_m | \ell^n) = \delta_m^n \quad (Y | \bar{Y}) = \xi^m \bar{\eta}_m + \eta_m \bar{\xi}^m$$

and ξ^m and η_m are some arbitrary constant parameters¹². The twisted Courant bracket [43, 44] is

$$[Y, \bar{Y}]_H = [\xi, \bar{\xi}] + \mathcal{L}_\xi \bar{\eta} - \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\xi}} \eta - \frac{1}{2} d(\iota_\xi \bar{\eta} - \iota_{\bar{\xi}} \eta) + \iota_\xi \iota_{\bar{\xi}} H$$

where $\xi = \xi^m K_m \in TG$ and $\eta = \eta_m \ell^m \in T^*G$ and

$$[K_m, K_n] = f_{mn}{}^p K_p \quad d\ell^m + \frac{1}{2} f_{np}{}^m \ell^n \wedge \ell^p = 0$$

The H -flux is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{6} H_{mnp} \ell^m \wedge \ell^n \wedge \ell^p$$

where H_{mnp} is a constant. The requirement that $dH = 0$ leads to an algebraic Bianchi identity $H_{[mn]t} f_{[pq]}{}^t = 0$ which determines what H -flux is permissible on the group manifold¹³. Putting these tangent and cotangent elements into the H -twisted Courant bracket gives

$$\begin{aligned} [Y, \bar{Y}]_H &= \xi^m \bar{\xi}^n ([K_m, K_n] + \iota_m \iota_n H) + \xi^m \bar{\eta}_n \left(\mathcal{L}_m \ell^n - \frac{1}{2} d(\iota_m \ell^n) \right) - \eta_m \bar{\xi}^n \left(\mathcal{L}_n \ell^m + \frac{1}{2} d(\iota_n \ell^m) \right) \\ &= \xi^m \bar{\xi}^n (f_{mn}{}^p K_p + H_{mnp} \ell^p) - \xi^m \bar{\eta}_n f_{mp}{}^n \ell^p + \eta_m \bar{\xi}^n f_{np}{}^m \ell^p \end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients of Y and \bar{Y} gives the algebra

$$[K_m, K_n]_H = f_{mn}{}^p K_p + H_{mnp} \ell^p \quad [\ell^m, K_n]_H = f_{np}{}^m \ell^p \quad [\ell^m, \ell^n]_H = 0$$

How does this relate to the twisted Drinfel'd double \mathfrak{h}_H ? A left-Invariant representation of \mathfrak{h}_H is

$$[Z_m, Z_n] = f_{mn}{}^p Z_p + H_{mnp} X^p \quad [X^m, Z_n] = f_{np}{}^m X^p \quad [X^m, X^n] = 0$$

These vectors T_M of the doubled group formalism can be written as generalised vectors in $TG \oplus T^*G$ by imposing the constraint $(\mathcal{P}^M | \mathcal{P}^N) = L^{MN}$ [23]. The constraint imposes the identification of ℓ^m with X^m . Restricting Z_m to the coset $G = \mathcal{G}/\tilde{G}_L$ recovers K_m (i.e. $Z_m|_G = K_m$), and the identification of \mathfrak{h}_H with the twisted Courant bracket on $TG \oplus T^*G$ is complete. For the case where $H_{mnp} = 0$ the Drinfel'd double is isomorphic to the Courant bracket as described above. The addition of H -flux ‘twists’ the Drinfel'd double in such a way that the new Lie-algebra is isomorphic to the H -twisted Courant bracket.

It is interesting to note that a similar result, a truncation of the theory to a \tilde{x}_i independent sector being associated to the Courant bracket, has been obtained from a doubled field theory [45, 46]. More generally we might expect that the algebra (1.6) has a natural description in terms of an H - and R -twisting of the bi-algebra (2.8). It would be interesting to see how this general bracket relates to the C-bracket discovered in [45, 46].

¹²Or, equivalently, general parameters evaluated at the identity.

¹³For semi-simple groups G there is an invertible Cartan-Killing metric $\eta_{mn} = \frac{1}{2} f_{mp}{}^q f_{nq}{}^p$ which may be used to raise and lower the Lie algebra indices of G . An example of a permissible flux is given by the standard WZNW models on G , for which $H_{mnp} = \eta_{mq} f_{np}{}^q$.

3 World-sheet theories for doubled geometries

In this section we shall consider two world-sheet theories describing the embedding of the world-sheet into the geometries discussed in the previous section. The doubled formalism introduced in [24] has proven to be an exceptionally useful tool in elucidating the structure of non-geometric backgrounds in string theory. Despite this success many issues remain unclear and the scope of applicability of the formalism of [24] is limited to those backgrounds which can be understood as torus fibrations and are necessarily locally geometric. The standard world-sheet formulation of string theory is best adapted to studying globally geometric backgrounds. The formalism of [24] extends this to include locally geometric backgrounds which are globally non-geometric, such as T-folds. In [28, 29] it was shown that a more powerful formalism can be constructed in which the doubled background is locally a group manifold. A key point is that the doubled group will generally not be a product of tori or even a torus fibration but will have a more general, non-abelian, structure. This doubled group perspective clarifies the nature of the geometry underlying T-duality and overcomes many of the misleading features of the doubled torus construction. The Drinfel'd double is an example of a Lie-algebra which generates such a doubled group.

3.1 Hamiltonian construction of the world-sheet theory

We consider here the sigma model, whose target space is locally the Poisson-Lie group, proposed by Klimčik and Severa in [12] and recast it in the language of [29]. The global structure of the target space is taken to be that of a doubled twisted torus. The re-expression of the Klimčik-Severa model allows for three advancements: Firstly, contact can be made with older attempts to construct string sigma-models with manifest T-duality symmetries [30]. Secondly, and most importantly, the proposed Poisson-Lie duality is placed firmly within the context of the study of non-geometric backgrounds and thirdly, it leads to a generalization of the considerations of both Hull [24] and Klimčik and Severa [11] to produce a world-sheet formulation of the target space results demonstrated in [28] analogous to that introduced in [29]. Such sigma models have also been studied in this context in [31, 47].

The first task is to construct a manifestly T-duality invariant sigma-model for $M_d \times T^D$ where the explicit dependence on the coordinates of M_d will be neglected as it is the part of the sigma model which describes the embedding into T^D which is of prime interest; however, a full description of the theory requires also including the embedding into M_d .¹⁴ The starting

¹⁴In a T-duality context, the coordinates y^μ on M_d are often referred to as spectator coordinates as they do not correspond to directions that are dualised along. The inclusion of the spectator coordinates in a doubled sigma model was given in [48].

point is the action for the bosonic string

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \sqrt{h} h^{\alpha\beta} g_{ij} \partial_{\alpha} x^i \partial_{\beta} x^j + \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} B_{ij} \partial_{\alpha} x^i \partial_{\beta} x^j + \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \sqrt{h} \phi R(h) \quad (3.1)$$

We shall choose to work in the gauge in which the world-sheet metric is $h = \text{diag}\{1, -1\}$ which sets the two-dimensional curvature to zero $R(h) = 0$ and $\varepsilon^{\tau\sigma} = -\varepsilon^{\sigma\tau} = 1$. The dilaton term, which vanishes in this gauge, has no α' dependence and does not contribute directly to the discussion of T-duality¹⁵. It is convenient to set $2\pi\alpha' = 1$ and to give the world-sheet theory in terms of the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} (\partial_{\tau} x^i \partial_{\tau} x^j - \partial_{\sigma} x^i \partial_{\sigma} x^j) + B_{ij} \partial_{\tau} x^i \partial_{\sigma} x^j$$

The target space of interest is T^D so g_{ij} and B_{ij} are taken to be independent of the embedding $x : \Sigma \rightarrow T^D$ but may depend on the coordinates y^{μ} on M_d . In fact, throughout this paper, the lower case g_{ij} and B_{ij} will be used to denote a background which is independent of all but the spectator coordinates. T-duality is a symplectomorphism of the phase space of the world-sheet theory (a canonical transformation) and as such can be thought of as a basis transformation in the world-sheet phase space. The goal is to recast the world-sheet Lagrangian such that the T-duality symmetry is manifest, or put another way, written in a form in which the symmetries of the string phase space are more apparent. The canonical momentum μ_i , conjugate to x^i , is

$$\mu_i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\tau} x^i)} = g_{ij} \partial_{\tau} x^j + B_{ij} \partial_{\sigma} x^j$$

The Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H} = \mu_i \partial_{\tau} x^i - \mathcal{L}$ can be written in a manifestly T-duality invariant form

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \Psi^I \mathcal{M}_{IJ} \Psi^J$$

where

$$\Psi^I = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_i \\ \partial_{\sigma} x^i \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{M}_{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{ij} + B_{ik} g^{kl} B_{lj} & g^{jk} B_{ki} \\ g^{ik} B_{kj} & g^{ij} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2)$$

That the Hamiltonian density may be written in such a duality invariant form should be of no surprise since T-duality, as remarked above, is a canonical transformation [50, 51, 52]. The Lagrangian may therefore be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \mu_i \partial_{\tau} x^i - \mathcal{H}$$

In [30] it was proposed that the momentum be associated with a dual coordinate \tilde{x}_i in the following way

$$\mu_i = \partial_{\sigma} \tilde{x}_i$$

¹⁵That there is no incompatibility with the statements that the dilaton transforms under T-duality [1, 8] and that T-duality leaves the string coupling invariant was explained in [49].

For example, the $\mu_i \partial_\tau x^i$ term becomes $\partial_\sigma \tilde{x}_i \partial_\tau x^i$. The degrees of freedom are formally doubled and doubled coordinates $\mathbb{X}^I = (x^i, \tilde{x}_i)$ are introduced. This lifts the canonical transformation to the status of a geometric transformation on the doubled coordinates \mathbb{X}^I . The Lagrangian may then be written

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} L_{IJ} \partial_\tau \mathbb{X}^I \partial_\sigma \mathbb{X}^J - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}_{IJ} \partial_\sigma \mathbb{X}^I \partial_\sigma \mathbb{X}^J + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{IJ} \partial_\tau \mathbb{X}^I \partial_\sigma \mathbb{X}^J \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$L_{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^i_j \\ \delta_i^j & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Omega_{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^i_j \\ -\delta_i^j & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The first and last terms together give the $\mu_i \partial_\sigma X^i$ term. One can think of this as a doubled formalism in which world-sheet Lorentz invariance is not manifest [30]. As a final comment, note that the momentum may be identified with the generator $\mu_i \sim \partial_i$ and so that the isomorphism between $(T \oplus T^*)(T^D)$ and $T(T^{2D})$ that leads to the identification $d\tilde{x}_i = \partial_i$ coming from $(d\mathbb{X}^I | d\mathbb{X}^J) = L^{IJ}$ is quite natural.

3.1.1 Sigma model on the doubled group

In [11, 12] a sigma model describing the embedding of the worldsheet Σ into a doubled group \mathcal{G} was proposed (again, the explicit dependence on the spectator fields y^μ are suppressed, although the metric \mathcal{M} and product structure \mathcal{R} may depend on y^μ)

$$\begin{aligned} S = & \frac{1}{2} \oint_\Sigma d^2\sigma \langle h^{-1} \partial_\sigma h | h^{-1} \partial_\tau h \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \oint_\Sigma d^2\sigma \langle h^{-1} \partial_\sigma h | \mathcal{R} | h^{-1} \partial_\sigma h \rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{12} \int_V d^3\sigma' \varepsilon^{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'} \langle h^{-1} \partial_{\alpha'} h | [h^{-1} \partial_{\beta'} h, h^{-1} \partial_{\gamma'} h] \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $h \in \mathcal{G}$ and α', β' and γ' label coordinates σ' on V where $\partial V = \Sigma$. Using the notation $h^{-1} \partial_\alpha h = \mathcal{P}_\alpha^M T_M$, introduced in (2.10) where now \mathcal{P} refers to the pull back of the left-invariant forms on \mathcal{G} to the world-sheet Σ , this sigma model may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} S = & \frac{1}{2} \oint_\Sigma d^2\sigma \langle T_M | T_N \rangle \mathcal{P}_\sigma^M \mathcal{P}_\tau^N - \frac{1}{2} \oint_\Sigma d^2\sigma \langle T_M | \mathcal{R} | T_N \rangle \mathcal{P}_\sigma^M \mathcal{P}_\sigma^N \\ & + \frac{1}{12} \int_V \langle T_M | [T_N, T_P] \rangle \mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{P}^N \wedge \mathcal{P}^P \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, it is shown in the Appendix that

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \langle T_M | \mathcal{R} | T_N \rangle \quad [T_M, T_N] = t_{MN}^P T_P$$

The doubled action may then be written as¹⁶

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma L_{MN} \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}^M \mathcal{P}_{\tau}^N - \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}^M \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}^N + \frac{1}{12} \int_V t_{MNP} \mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{P}^N \wedge \mathcal{P}^P \quad (3.5)$$

This action is the non-abelian generalisation of (3.3). The benefit of this formulation of the theory over the standard formulation (3.1) is two-fold. Firstly the action of $O(D, D)$ and hence the Poisson-Lie map is manifest and linear. Secondly, the action allows more general backgrounds to be understood much more simply than the starting point (3.1). In particular this sigma model can describe embeddings of the world-sheet into backgrounds with H -flux and target spaces which are not even locally geometric. A clear drawback of this construction is that Lorentz invariance on the world-sheet is not manifest. Doubled sigma models with manifest world-sheet Lorentz invariance were introduced in [29] (see also [48]) and will be discussed in the present context towards the end of this section.

Recovering the conventional description

The sigma model (3.5) on \mathcal{X} has a manifest rigid left-acting symmetry \mathcal{G}_L . A sigma model on the coset \mathcal{X}/\tilde{G}_L is constructed by gauging the left-acting sub-group \tilde{G}_L in the doubled sigma-model above¹⁷. The obstructions to gauging sigma models with Wess-Zumino terms are discussed in [53] and the various criteria a sub-group must satisfy in order for the gauged theory to also encode two-dimensional physics were clarified. It can be shown that these criteria reduce, in this case, to the statement that the gauge group must be a null sub-group with respect to L_{MN} , in other words that it must be maximally isotropic [29]. The maximally isotropic sub-group we choose to gauge is \tilde{G}_L . This is done by introducing $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -valued world-sheet one-forms $C = C_{\sigma} d\sigma$ where $C_{\sigma} = C_{\sigma m} \tilde{T}^m$ which transform under the left action \tilde{G}_L as

$$\delta C_{\sigma m} = \partial_{\sigma} \varepsilon_m + f_m^{np} \varepsilon_p C_{\sigma n}$$

where ε is a gauge parameter which depends on the world-sheet coordinate σ . It will be seen that the sigma model is manifestly invariant under τ -dependent gauge transformations acting from the left so there is no need to introduce one-forms $C_{\tau} d\tau$ explicitly. It is useful to define

$$\mathcal{C}_{\sigma} = h^{-1} C_{\sigma} h$$

¹⁶One should really write the Wess-Zumino term as $\frac{1}{12} \int_V t_{MNP} \Phi^M \wedge \Phi^N \wedge \Phi^P$ where Φ^M depends on the coordinates $(\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \sigma'_3)$ on V such that $\Phi^M(\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \sigma'_3)|_{\Sigma} = \mathcal{P}^M(\tau, \sigma)$. We shall refer to both the pull-back of the one-forms in $T^*\mathcal{X}$ to Σ and V both as \mathcal{P} . No confusion should result from this abuse of notation as any quantity defined on V will always appear under the integral \int_V . The classical physics only depends on the fields \mathcal{P}^M defined as pull-backs to Σ .

¹⁷The generators of the left-action \tilde{T}_M are right-invariant and generally will not be globally defined on $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{G}/\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$. In general, the generator $(\tilde{X}^m)_a$ of \tilde{G}_L in a local coordinate patch \mathcal{U}_a will be related to $(\tilde{X}^m)_b$ in a patch \mathcal{U}_b by $(\tilde{X}^m)_a = (\gamma^m_n)_{ab} (\tilde{X}^n)_b$ where $\gamma_{ab} \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ defined on the overlap $\mathcal{U}_a \cap \mathcal{U}_b$. This issue will not be considered further here but is discussed at length in [29].

and the minimal coupling terms $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\sigma = h^{-1}(\partial_\sigma + C_\sigma)h$ as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\sigma^M = \mathcal{P}_\sigma^M + \mathcal{C}_\sigma^M$$

The gauging proceeds by minimally coupling of the first two terms in (3.5) by replacing \mathcal{P}_σ^M with $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\sigma^M$. An important result of [53] is that the gauged Wess-Zumino term may be written as

$$\frac{1}{12} \int_V t_{MNP} \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^M \wedge \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^N \wedge \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^P = \frac{1}{2} \oint_\Sigma L_{MN} \mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{C}^N + \frac{1}{12} \int_V t_{MNP} \mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{P}^N \wedge \mathcal{P}^P$$

The minimal coupling of the term $\frac{1}{2} L_{MN} \mathcal{P}_\sigma^M \mathcal{P}_\tau^N \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} L_{MN} \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\sigma^M \mathcal{P}_\tau^N$ introduces another factor of $\frac{1}{2} \oint_\Sigma L_{MN} \mathcal{P}_\tau^M \mathcal{C}_\sigma^N$. Finally, the \widetilde{G}_L -gauged sigma model on \mathcal{G} , which is equivalent to a sigma model on $\mathcal{G}/\widetilde{G}_L$, may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} S = & \frac{1}{2} \oint_\Sigma d^2\sigma L_{MN} \mathcal{P}_\sigma^M \mathcal{P}_\tau^N - \frac{1}{2} \oint_\Sigma d^2\sigma \mathcal{M}_{MN} \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\sigma^M \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\sigma^N + \oint_\Sigma d^2\sigma L_{MN} \mathcal{P}_\tau^M \mathcal{C}_\sigma^N \\ & + \frac{1}{12} \int_V t_{MNP} \mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{P}^N \wedge \mathcal{P}^P \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

This can also be written as

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \oint_\Sigma d^2\sigma \langle \mathcal{P}_\sigma | \mathcal{P}_\tau \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \oint_\Sigma d^2\sigma \langle \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\sigma | \mathcal{R} | \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\sigma \rangle + \oint_\Sigma d^2\sigma \langle \mathcal{P}_\tau | \mathcal{C}_\sigma \rangle + \frac{1}{12} \int_V d^3\sigma' \varepsilon^{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'} \langle \mathcal{P}_{\alpha'} | [\mathcal{P}_{\beta'}, \mathcal{P}_{\gamma'}] \rangle$$

Although this last form of the gauged action may appear to be independent of the choice of Lie algebra basis, it is only really independent of the of basis changes which preserve the choice of Manin triple. The one-form C_σ (and \mathcal{C}_σ), is only specified once a Manin triple has been chosen and a subgroup is chosen to be gauged.

Sigma models on $\mathcal{G}/\widetilde{G}_L$ and $\mathcal{X}/\widetilde{G}_L$

Let us now see how the gauged sigma model above reduces to the standrd one on G . Consider the Manin triple $(\mathfrak{h}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{g})$ and the corresponding decomposition of the elements of \mathcal{G} as $h = \widetilde{g}g$ where $\widetilde{g} \in \widetilde{G}$ and $g \in G$. The sigma model of interest is the gauging of the sigma model on the doubled group \mathcal{G} , or more generally the twisted torus \mathcal{X} , by the introduction of the one-form $C_\sigma = C_{\sigma m} \widetilde{T}^m$.

In terms of the decomposition $h = \widetilde{g}g$, where the left action is denoted by $\widetilde{G}_L : h \rightarrow \xi h$ where $\xi \in \widetilde{G}$. \widetilde{G}_L acts as

$$\widetilde{G}_L : \widetilde{g} \rightarrow \xi \widetilde{g} \quad \widetilde{G}_L : g \rightarrow g$$

The minimally coupled left-invariant $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\sigma = h^{-1}(\partial_\sigma + C_\sigma)h$ may be written in terms of the maximally isotropic generators (T_m, \widetilde{T}_m) as $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^M = \widehat{P}^m T_m + \widehat{Q}_m \widetilde{T}^m$, where

$$\widehat{P}^m = (g^{-1}dg)^m - \beta^{mn}(\widetilde{g}^{-1}D\widetilde{g})_n \quad \widehat{Q}_m = A_m{}^n(\widetilde{g}^{-1}D\widetilde{g})_n$$

and $D = d + C_\sigma d\sigma$. It is useful to write $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^M$ as $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^M = \widehat{\Phi}^N \mathcal{V}_N^M$ where

$$\widehat{\Phi}^M = \begin{pmatrix} \ell^m & \widehat{Q}_m \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_n^m & 0 \\ -\pi^{mn} & \delta_n^m \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\ell^m = (g^{-1}dg)^m$ is the left-invariant one-form on T^*G . The matrix \mathcal{V} acts as a β -shift so that $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{M}(E)\mathcal{V}^T = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$ where

$$\mathcal{E} = (E^{-1} + \pi)^{-1} \quad (3.7)$$

The second term in the action then becomes

$$-\frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \mathcal{M}_{MN}(E) \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\sigma}^M \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\sigma}^N = -\frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \mathcal{M}_{MN}(\mathcal{E}) \Phi_{\sigma}^M \Phi_{\sigma}^N$$

This term may then be expanded out as

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN}(E) \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\sigma}^M \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\sigma}^N = G^{mn} \widehat{Q}_{\sigma m} \widehat{Q}_{\sigma n} - 2G^{mp} B_{pn} \widehat{Q}_{\sigma m} \ell_{\sigma}^n + (G_{mn} + B_{mp} G^{pq} B_{nq}) \ell_{\sigma}^m \ell_{\sigma}^n$$

where¹⁸ $G_{mn}(g) = \mathcal{E}_{(mn)}$ and $B_{mn}(g) = \mathcal{E}_{[mn]}$.

Consider now the Wess-Zumino term of the sigma model for this choice of Manin triple. It is convenient to write the left-invariant one forms as

$$\mathcal{P} = g^{-1}(r + \tilde{\ell})g = g^{-1}\mathcal{P}g \quad (3.8)$$

where $r = dg g^{-1}$ and $\tilde{\ell} = \tilde{h}^{-1}d\tilde{h}$. Using the fact that the inner product $\langle | \rangle$ is adjoint-invariant so that $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\alpha'} | [\mathcal{P}_{\beta'}, \mathcal{P}_{\gamma'}] \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_{\alpha'} | [\mathcal{P}_{\beta'}, \mathcal{P}_{\gamma'}] \rangle$ and the Wess-Zumino term may be written as

$$S_{wz} = -\frac{1}{4} \int_V \left(f_{mn}{}^p r^m \wedge r^n \wedge \tilde{\ell}_p + c_m{}^{np} r^m \wedge \tilde{\ell}_n \wedge \tilde{\ell}_p \right) = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} r^m \wedge \tilde{\ell}_m$$

which is the generalisation of the form $\Omega_{IJ} d\mathbb{X}^I \wedge d\mathbb{X}^J$ seen in the abelian case (3.3). Finally, the Wess-Zumino term can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \langle dg g^{-1} | \tilde{g}^{-1} d\tilde{g} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \langle \ell | Q \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma (\ell^m{}_{\tau} Q_{\sigma m} - \ell^m{}_{\sigma} Q_{\tau m})$$

The first term in the action may also be simplified

$$\frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma L_{MN} \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}^M \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}^N = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma (\ell^m{}_{\tau} Q_{\sigma m} + \ell^m{}_{\sigma} Q_{\tau m})$$

where the $O(D, D)$ invariance of L_{MN} has been used, $L_{PQ} \mathcal{O}^P{}_M \mathcal{O}^Q{}_N = L_{MN}$. The extra term $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\tau} | \mathcal{C}_{\sigma} \rangle$ introduced in the gauged theory can be written, using the adjoint invariance of the inner product, as

$$\langle \mathcal{P}_{\tau} | \mathcal{C}_{\sigma} \rangle = \langle \partial_{\tau} h h^{-1} | \mathcal{C}_{\sigma} \rangle = \langle \tilde{g}^{-1} \partial_{\tau} \tilde{g} + \partial_{\tau} g g^{-1} | \tilde{g}^{-1} \mathcal{C}_{\sigma} \tilde{g} \rangle = \langle \ell_{\tau} | \tilde{g}^{-1} \mathcal{C}_{\sigma} \tilde{g} \rangle$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma L_{MN} \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}^M \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}^N + \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma L_{MN} \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}^M \mathcal{C}_{\sigma}^N + \frac{1}{12} \int_V t_{MNP} \mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{P}^N \wedge \mathcal{P}^P = \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \ell_{\tau}{}^m \widehat{Q}_{\sigma m}$$

¹⁸This is in contrast to $g_{mn} = E_{(mn)}$ and $b_{mn} = E_{[mn]}$. Note that $G_{mn}(e) = g_{mn}$ and $B_{mn}(e) = b_{mn}$ since $\pi^{mn}(e) = 0$.

Finally, the action (3.6) can be written in terms of Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}G^{mn}\widehat{Q}_{\sigma m}\widehat{Q}_{\sigma n} + G^{mp}B_{pn}\widehat{Q}_{\sigma m}\ell_{\sigma}^n - \frac{1}{2}(G_{mn} + B_{mp}G^{pq}B_{nq})\ell_{\sigma}^m\ell_{\sigma}^n + \ell_{\tau}^m\widehat{Q}_{\sigma m}$$

Note that only $Q_{\sigma} = g^{-1}(\tilde{g}^{-1}\partial_{\sigma}\tilde{g})g$ appears in the Lagrangian, Q_{τ} does not, so that the theory is invariant under arbitrary left-acting τ -dependent gauge transformations $\tilde{g} \rightarrow \xi(\tau)\tilde{g}$ as claimed above. Completing the square in $\widehat{Q}_{\sigma m}$ gives

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{E}_{mn}(g^{-1}\partial_{-}g)^m(g^{-1}\partial_{+}g)^n - \frac{1}{2}G^{mn}\lambda_m\lambda_n \quad (3.9)$$

where $\partial_{\pm} = \partial_{\tau} \pm \partial_{\sigma}$ and

$$\lambda_m = (A^{-1})_m{}^n \tilde{A}_n{}^p C_{\sigma p} + Q_{\sigma m} - G_{mn}\ell_{\tau}^n - B_{mn}\ell_{\sigma}^n$$

Integrating out the $C_{\sigma m}$ gives a contribution of $\det[(A^{-1})\tilde{A}G^{-1}\tilde{A}^T(A^{-1})^T] = \det(G^{-1})$ to the path integral. This gives the required correction to the dilaton and (3.9) gives the expected Lagrangian on the Poisson-Lie group G given in [11].

Sigma models on \mathcal{X}/G_L and \mathcal{G}/G_L

Now consider the complementary Manin triple $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ where the roles of \mathfrak{g} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ have been exchanged. An element of the doubled group may be written as $h = g\tilde{g}$ where $g \in G$ and $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$. The left action G_L acts as

$$G_L : g \rightarrow \xi g \quad G_L : \tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{g}$$

The corresponding exchange of T_m with \tilde{T}^m - an action of L_{MN} on the space of generators $T_M \rightarrow T^M = L^{MN}T_N$ - relates this decomposition to the decomposition $h = \tilde{g}g$ used above. One may think of this as exchanging the the Manin triple $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ with $(\mathfrak{h}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{g})$, or in the language of [24] as choosing a different polarization of the Lie algebra related to the first by an action of the element $L_{MN} \in O(D, D; \mathbb{Z})$. In order to gauge the subgroup G_L the one-forms $C_{\sigma} = C_{\sigma}{}^m T_m$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma} = h^{-1}C_{\sigma}h$ must be introduced, which transform under G_L as

$$\delta C_{\sigma}{}^m = \partial_{\sigma}\varepsilon^m + f_{np}{}^m \varepsilon^p C_{\sigma}{}^n$$

It must be stressed that this is a-priori a different gauging than that considered above and so the gauged sigma models represent a-priori different theories. One cannot, at this stage, infer that the sigma models on \mathcal{X}/\tilde{G}_L and \mathcal{X}/G_L describe the same physics.

The components of the minimally coupled left-invariant one-forms $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^M$ are

$$\widehat{P}^m = \tilde{A}^m{}_n(g^{-1}Dg)^n \quad \widehat{Q}_m = (\tilde{g}^{-1}d\tilde{g})_m - \tilde{\beta}_{mn}(g^{-1}Dg)^n$$

It is useful to write $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^M = \tilde{\Phi}^N \mathcal{W}_N{}^M$ where

$$\tilde{\Phi}^M = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{P}^m & \tilde{\ell}_m \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{W} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_n{}^m & -\tilde{\pi}_{mn} \\ 0 & \delta_m{}^n \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\tilde{\ell}_m = (\tilde{g}^{-1}d\tilde{g})_m$ does not depend on the one-forms C_σ and $\tilde{\pi}$ is the Poisson structure $\tilde{\pi} = \tilde{\beta}\tilde{A}^{-1}$. In this case the matrix \mathcal{W} acts to produce a b -shift on the background tensor $\mathcal{WM}(E)\mathcal{W}^T = \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{-1})$ where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = (E + \tilde{\pi})^{-1} \quad (3.10)$$

It is convenient to write the action in terms of the symmetric and antisymmetric components of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ using the fact that $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{-1}) = \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$. The second term may then be written out as

$$-\frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \mathcal{M}_{MN}(E) \hat{\mathcal{P}}_\sigma^M \hat{\mathcal{P}}_\sigma^N = -\frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \mathcal{M}^{MN}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \tilde{\Phi}_{\sigma M} \tilde{\Phi}_{\sigma N}$$

This term may then be expanded out as

$$\mathcal{M}^{MN}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \tilde{\Phi}_{\sigma M} \tilde{\Phi}_{\sigma N} = \tilde{G}_{mn} \hat{P}_\sigma^m \hat{P}_\sigma^n - 2\tilde{G}_{mp} \tilde{B}^{pn} \hat{P}_\sigma^m \tilde{\ell}_{\sigma n} + (\tilde{G}^{mn} + \tilde{B}^{mp} \tilde{G}_{pq} \tilde{B}^{nq}) \tilde{\ell}_{\sigma m} \tilde{\ell}_{\sigma n}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}^{MN}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}^{mn} + \tilde{B}^{mp} \tilde{G}_{pq} \tilde{B}^{qn} & \tilde{G}_{np} \tilde{B}_{pm} \\ \tilde{G}_{mp} \tilde{B}^{pn} & \tilde{G}_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \quad \tilde{G}^{mn} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{(mn)} \quad \tilde{B}^{mn} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{[mn]} \quad (3.11)$$

The Wess-Zumino term may be written as

$$\oint_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} \langle dg g^{-1} | \tilde{g}^{-1} d\tilde{g} \rangle = \oint_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} \langle P | \tilde{\ell} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \left(P^m{}_\tau \tilde{\ell}_{\sigma m} - P^m{}_\sigma \tilde{\ell}_{\tau m} \right)$$

so that

$$\oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma L_{MN} \mathcal{P}_\tau^M \mathcal{C}_\sigma^N + \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma L_{MN} \mathcal{P}_\sigma^M \mathcal{P}_\tau^N + \frac{1}{12} \int_V t_{MNP} \mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{P}^N \wedge \mathcal{P}^P = \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \hat{P}_\tau^m \tilde{\ell}_{\sigma m}$$

Completing the square in \hat{P}_σ^m gives

$$\mathcal{L} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{mn} (\tilde{g}^{-1} \partial_- \tilde{g})_m (\tilde{g}^{-1} \partial_+ \tilde{g})_n - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}_{mn} \lambda_m \lambda_n \quad (3.12)$$

where $\partial_\pm = \partial_\tau \pm \partial_\sigma$ and

$$\lambda^m = \hat{P}_\sigma^m - \tilde{G}^{mn} \tilde{\ell}_{\tau n} - \tilde{B}^{mn} \tilde{\ell}_{\sigma n}$$

Integrating out C_σ gives a $\det(\tilde{G}^{-1})$ contribution to the dilaton and the ‘dual’ theory found in [10] is recovered.

3.2 Twisted Poisson-Lie Structures

The considerations above address models in which the target space is a twisted torus $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{G}/\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ associated with the Drinfel’d double

$$[T_m, T_n] = f_{mn}{}^p T_p \quad [\tilde{T}^m, T_n] = f_{np}{}^m \tilde{T}^p - c_n{}^{mp} T_p \quad [\tilde{T}^m, \tilde{T}^n] = c^{mn}{}_p \tilde{T}^p \quad (3.13)$$

where the two sub-algebras G and \tilde{G} , generated by T_m and \tilde{T}^m , are maximally isotropic with respect to the inner product $\langle | \rangle$ which defines the $O(D, D)$ structure. If one drops the

requirement that T_m and \tilde{T}^m must generate subalgebras and simply require that the sets of generators be null with respect to the inner product, and therefore be compatible with the $O(D, D)$ structure, then more general doubled twisted tori, based on an algebras which are not Drinfel'd doubles, are allowed. In particular we may allow target spaces $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{G}/\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ where the algebra generating \mathcal{G} is

$$[T_m, T_n] = f_{mn}{}^p T_p + H_{mnp} \tilde{T}^p \quad [\tilde{T}^m, T_n] = \gamma_{np}{}^m \tilde{T}^p + h_n{}^{mp} T_p \quad (3.14)$$

$$[\tilde{T}^m, \tilde{T}^n] = c^{mn}{}_p \tilde{T}^p + R^{mnp} T_p \quad (3.15)$$

If $R^{mnp} \neq 0$ ($H_{mnp} \neq 0$) then the set of generators \tilde{T}^m (T_m) do not close to form a sub-algebra. Such algebras may be thought of as *twisted Drinfel'd doubles*. The rationale behind this terminology is that the extra structure constant H_{mnp} plays the role of a flux in the background [14, 15] and in particular is responsible for H -twisting the Courant bracket in geometric $TG \oplus T^*G$ backgrounds [43, 44], where G is locally a group manifold. Let us consider such backgrounds from the world-sheet perspective.

The doubled formalism (3.5) is written in terms of the pull-back of the left-invariant one-forms on \mathfrak{h}

$$\mathcal{P}^M = \mathcal{P}_{\tau}{}^M d\tau + \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}{}^M d\sigma$$

to the world-sheet Σ . These forms obey the Bianchi identity

$$\partial_{\tau} \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}{}^M - \partial_{\sigma} \mathcal{P}_{\tau}{}^M = t_{NP}{}^M \mathcal{P}_{\tau}{}^N \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}{}^P \quad (3.16)$$

where $t_{MN}{}^P$ are structure constants for \mathfrak{h} . This formalism is not restricted to Drinfel'd doubles, it could equally well be employed to describe embeddings of the world-sheet into any group \mathcal{G} which is compatible with the $O(D, D)$ structure. In particular, we are interested in generalizing the application of this doubled sigma model to groups with algebras of the more general form (3.14). As an example, consider the addition of H -flux to the Drinfel'd double corresponding to the group $\mathcal{G} = G \ltimes \mathbb{R}^D$ (a group manifold with vanishing Poisson structure). The Lie algebra of this H -twisted Drinfel'd double, which shall be denoted by \mathfrak{h}_H , is

$$[T_m, T_n] = f_{mn}{}^p T_p + H_{mnp} \tilde{T}^p \quad [\tilde{T}^m, T_n] = f_{np}{}^m \tilde{T}^p \quad [\tilde{T}^m, \tilde{T}^n] = 0$$

Now that the T_m do not close to form a sub-algebra, the adjoint action $g^{-1} T_m g$ will in general include a contribution from the \tilde{T}^m generators, so that $g^{-1} T_m g = A_m{}^n T_n + b_{mn} \tilde{T}^n$, where $b_{mn} = \langle g^{-1} T_m g | T_n \rangle$, so that the matrix (2.12) takes the more general form

$$g^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} T^m \\ \tilde{T}_m \end{pmatrix} g = \begin{pmatrix} A^m{}_n & b_{mn} \\ \beta^{mn} & (A^{-1})_m{}^n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T^n \\ \tilde{T}_n \end{pmatrix}$$

The requirement $b_{mn} = -b_{nm}$ ensures that this matrix is an element of $O(D, D)$. For example, a torus T^d with constant flux

$$H = \frac{1}{6} H_{mnp} dx^m \wedge dx^n \wedge dx^p$$

where H_{mnp} is a constant, gives $b_{mn} = \frac{1}{2}H_{mnp}X^p$. In order to recover the standard description of this background the left-acting abelian symmetry generated by the right-invariant vectors \tilde{X}^m must be gauged. As discussed in the previous section, the gauging of this left-action corresponds to minimal coupling of the $Q_{\sigma m} \rightarrow \hat{Q}_{\sigma m}$. The left-invariant one-forms on \mathcal{X} may be written as $\mathcal{P}^M T_M = P^m T_m + Q_m \tilde{T}^m$ and, for the twisted double \mathfrak{h}_H , these one-forms satisfy the Bianchi identities (3.16), which for the example \mathfrak{h}_H , considered here are

$$dP^m + \frac{1}{2}f_{np}{}^m P^n \wedge P^p = 0 \quad dQ_m + f_{mn}{}^p P^n \wedge Q_p + \frac{1}{2}H_{mnp} P^n \wedge P^p = 0$$

the left-invariant vector fields dual to these one-forms are a left-invariant generators for the above algebra. To recover the theory on \mathcal{X}/\tilde{G}_L one proceeds as before by gauging the rigid G_L symmetry of the sigma model (3.5), except now the h take values in the group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_H . The Wess-Zumino term for the action (3.5) may be written as

$$\frac{1}{12} \int_V t_{MNP} \mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{P}^N \wedge \mathcal{P}^P = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} P^m \wedge Q_m + \frac{1}{6} \int_V H_{mnp} P^m \wedge P^n \wedge P^p$$

so that the gauged doubled action (3.6) may then be written as

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \mathcal{M}_{MN}(E) \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\sigma}{}^M \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\sigma}{}^N + \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma P_{\tau}{}^m \hat{Q}_{\sigma m} + \frac{1}{6} \int_V H_{mnp} P^m \wedge P^n \wedge P^p$$

Expanding out the first term

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \left(\frac{1}{2} g^{mn} \hat{Q}_{\sigma m} \hat{Q}_{\sigma n} + g^{mp} B_{pn} \hat{Q}_{\sigma m} P_{\sigma}{}^n + \frac{1}{2} (g_{mn} + B_{mp} g^{pq} B_{qn}) P_{\sigma}{}^m P_{\sigma}{}^n + P_{\tau}{}^m \hat{Q}_{\sigma m} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6} \int_V H_{mnp} P^m \wedge P^n \wedge P^p \end{aligned}$$

and completing the square in $\hat{Q}_{\sigma m}$ gives

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma g^{mn} \lambda_m \lambda_n + \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma E_{mn} P_{-}{}^m P_{+}{}^n + \frac{1}{6} \int_V H_{mnp} P^m \wedge P^n \wedge P^p$$

where $\lambda_m = \hat{Q}_{\sigma m} - g_{mn} P_{\tau}{}^n - B_{mn} P_{\sigma}{}^n$. Integrating out the gauge fields gives a sigma model on G (or G/Γ_G) with G_L invariant H -flux as expected.

3.3 Lagrangian construction of the world-sheet theory

The doubled formalism (3.4) introduced in [11] has been shown to be applicable beyond its original remit and can adequately describe string backgrounds which arise from general gaugings of $O(D, D)$ (as described in the introduction) not just those which correspond to Poisson-Lie groups, but also those which include H and R -flux. A regrettable feature of this formalism though is that manifest world-sheet Lorentz invariance is lost in the doubled action. That such theories are implicitly Lorentz invariant, was investigated in [30] and

can be seen directly in the manifest Lorentz invariance of the gauged sigma models once the gauge fields have been integrated out. A doubled model in which Lorentz invariance is manifest at all stages, would be more appealing and was introduced in [29].

The action describing the embedding of a closed string world-sheet Σ into the target space \mathcal{X} is

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \sqrt{h} h^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{H}_{IJ} \partial_{\alpha} \mathbb{X}^I \partial_{\beta} \mathbb{X}^J + \frac{1}{12} \int_V d^3\sigma' \varepsilon^{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'} \mathcal{K}_{IJK} \partial_{\alpha'} \mathbb{X}^I \partial_{\beta'} \mathbb{X}^J \partial_{\gamma'} \mathbb{X}^K + \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \sqrt{h} \phi R(h)$$

where V is an extension of the world-sheet such that $\partial V = \Sigma$ and

$$\mathcal{H}_{IJ}(\mathbb{X}, Y) = \mathcal{M}_{MN}(Y) \mathcal{P}^M_I \mathcal{P}^N_J \quad \mathcal{K}_{IJK}(\mathbb{X}) = t_{MNP} \mathcal{P}^M_I \mathcal{P}^N_J \mathcal{P}^P_K$$

In terms of the world-sheet Hodge star $*$ and wedge product \wedge , the action can be simply written as

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \oint_{\Sigma} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{P}^M \wedge * \mathcal{P}^N + \frac{1}{12} \int_V t_{MNP} \mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{P}^N \wedge \mathcal{P}^P + \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\Sigma} \phi R(h) * 1 \quad (3.17)$$

$\mathcal{P} = h^{-1}dh$ are the left-invariant one-forms, \mathcal{M} is given by (2.15) and is taken to be independent of \mathbb{X}^I and $t_{MNP} = L_{MQ} t_{NP}{}^Q$. A gauge for the world-sheet metric such that $R(h) = 0$ will be chosen. The left-invariant one-forms satisfy the Bianchi identity

$$d\mathcal{P}^M + \frac{1}{2} t^M{}_{NP} \mathcal{P}^N \wedge \mathcal{P}^P = 0 \quad (3.18)$$

It is interesting to note that the action can be written in a way that is independent of a choice of Lie-algebra basis

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \sqrt{h} h^{\alpha\beta} \langle \mathcal{P}_{\alpha} | \mathcal{R} | \mathcal{P}_{\beta} \rangle + \frac{1}{12} \int_V d^3\sigma' \varepsilon^{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'} \langle \mathcal{P}_{\alpha'} | [\mathcal{P}_{\beta'}, \mathcal{P}_{\gamma'}] \rangle$$

The equations of motion are¹⁹

$$d * \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{P}^N + M_{NP} t_{MQ}{}^P \mathcal{P}^Q \wedge * \mathcal{P}^N + L_{MN} d\mathcal{P}^N = 0 \quad (3.19)$$

Similar to the doubled torus sigma model constraint (1.7), the self-duality constraint

$$\mathcal{P}^M = L^{MN} \mathcal{M}_{NP} * \mathcal{P}^P \quad (3.20)$$

can be consistently imposed. This constraint reduces the $2D$ degrees of freedom in $\mathbb{X}^I = (x^i, \tilde{x}_i)$ to the D degrees of freedom in the physical space-time coordinates x^i . In this way

¹⁹A Lorentzian signature has been chosen for the world-sheet metric $h_{\alpha\beta}$ so that $*^2 = +1$. This is a convenience rather than a necessity and a signature such that $*^2 = -1$ could equally well have been chosen. In the latter case one would have to reverse the orientation of V with respect to Σ , introducing a relative minus sign to the Wess-Zumino term and the self-duality constraint would become $\mathcal{P}^M = -L^{MN} \mathcal{M}_{NP} * \mathcal{P}^P$.

one can think of D of the coordinates as auxiliary and the constraint specifies how the auxiliary degrees of freedom depend on the physical degrees of freedom. Any two of the Bianchi identity (3.18), Equation of motion (3.19) or constraint (3.20) together determine the third. This constraint is imposed in the quantum theory by gauging a left-acting maximally isotropic subgroup of \mathcal{G} as was done for the Hamiltonian construction. Different choices of gauge group correspond to different ways of imposing the constraint. As stressed earlier, there is no reason a-priori to think that different gaugings will give rise to equivalent theories. The gauged sigma model is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \oint_{\Sigma} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^M \wedge * \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^N + \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} L_{MN} \mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{C}^N + \frac{1}{12} \int_V t_{MNP} \mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{P}^N \wedge \mathcal{P}^P \quad (3.21)$$

which may be written as

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \sqrt{h} h^{\alpha\beta} \langle \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha} | \mathcal{R} | \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\beta} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \langle \mathcal{P}_{\alpha} | \mathcal{C}_{\beta} \rangle + \frac{1}{12} \int_V d^3\sigma' \varepsilon^{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'} \langle \mathcal{P}_{\alpha'} | [\mathcal{P}_{\beta'}, \mathcal{P}_{\gamma'}] \rangle$$

and is discussed further in Appendix E and [29].

3.3.1 Derivation of the Poisson-Lie Map

The details of this calculation are technically very similar to that of the chiral approach described above. The general results are summarised here and further details of the calculation are given in the Appendix F.

A Manin triple is chosen such that an element of the double may be decomposed as $h = \tilde{g}g$ where $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$ and $g \in G$. The sigma model on the group G is recovered as the left coset $G = \mathcal{G}/\tilde{G}_L$ and, as for the chiral approach, the sigma model on the coset is given by gauging the left action \tilde{G}_L of the sigma model on \mathcal{G} or \mathcal{X} . One-forms $C = C_m \tilde{T}^m$ which depend on both σ and τ are introduced and gauging by minimal coupling is given by introducing the invariant one-forms

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = h^{-1}(d + C)h \quad (3.22)$$

The left-invariant one forms may be written as $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^M = \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^N \mathcal{V}_N{}^M$ where

$$\mathcal{P}^M = \begin{pmatrix} r^m & \tilde{\ell}_m \end{pmatrix} \quad \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^M = \begin{pmatrix} r^m & \widehat{\ell}_m \end{pmatrix}$$

where $r^m = (dgg^{-1})$ is the right-invariant form on T^*G , $\tilde{\ell}_m = (\tilde{g}^{-1}d\tilde{g})$ is the left-invariant form on $T^*\tilde{G}$ and $\widehat{\ell}_m = (\tilde{g}^{-1}D\tilde{g})$ is the gauge-invariant form on $T^*\tilde{G}$ where the covariant derivative $D = d + C$ has been introduced. \mathcal{V} is given by \mathcal{O} in (2.12). Define the g -dependent background tensor $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ as

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{V}\mathcal{M}(E)\mathcal{V}^T$$

where, as is discussed in Appendix B,

$$\mathcal{F}_{mn}(g) = (A_p{}^m + E_{pq}\beta^{qm})^{-1} E_{pt}(A^{-1})^t{}_n$$

As demonstrated in the Chiral (Hamiltonian) approach, the Wess-Zumino term may be written as

$$S_{\text{wz}} = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} r^m \wedge \tilde{\ell}_m$$

so the gauged action (3.6) can then be written as

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \oint_{\Sigma} \mathcal{M}_{MN}(\mathcal{F}) \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^M \wedge * \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^N + \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} L_{MN} \mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{C}^N + \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} r^m \wedge \tilde{\ell}_m$$

where $\mathcal{C} = \tilde{g}^{-1} \mathcal{C} \tilde{g}$. The action is written completely in terms of the two-dimensional world-sheet Σ and so can be expressed as a Lagrangian. Expanding the Lagrangian out and completing the square in $\widehat{\ell}_m$ gives

$$S = \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \mathcal{F}_{mn} r_-^m r_+^n + \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma G^{mn} \lambda_{-m} \lambda_{+n}$$

where

$$\lambda_m = \widehat{\ell}_m - G_{mn} * r^n - B_{mn} r^n$$

Using (B.5) and integrating out the gauge fields, the action takes the form

$$S = \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \mathcal{E}_{mn} (g^{-1} \partial_- g)^m (g^{-1} \partial_+ g)^n$$

where \mathcal{E}_{mn} is given by (3.7). Using instead $\mathcal{G} = g\tilde{g}$ where $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$ and $g \in G$ and gauging the left-acting G_L symmetry of the sigma model, the sigma model on $\tilde{G} = \mathcal{G}/G_L$ can be shown to be given by the action

$$S = \oint_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{mn} (\tilde{g}^{-1} \partial_- \tilde{g})_m (\tilde{g}^{-1} \partial_+ \tilde{g})_n$$

with $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{mn}$ given by (3.10). The doubled action (3.21) presented here correctly reproduces the Poisson-Lie map and includes generalizations to backgrounds with non-trivial flux.

4 M2-branes and M-theory

One might also consider generalising the analysis presented here to M-theory. M2 branes do not share the same status of fundamental quanta that strings have, so it is unclear whether or not a generalization of the doubled formalism of strings to M2 branes is appropriate; however, such a construction may elucidate many of the issues which arise in the construction and interpretation of many non-geometric backgrounds. When the internal space is a torus, the doubled geometry comes from introducing coordinates, conjugate to the string winding modes, in addition to the standard coordinates of the spacetime, conjugate to the momentum modes. Extending this, by analogy, to M2 branes, one expects the an extended geometry constructed by the coordinates, conjugate to string momentum and winding modes, to be

replaced by a geometry with coordinates conjugate to membrane momentum and wrapping modes [15, 16] (see also [54, 55]). U-duality would have a natural action on the geometry of this extended space as a sub-group of the mapping class group.

For string theory on a Poisson-Lie background, the cover of the associated doubled geometry \mathcal{G}/Γ is dictated by a Lie-algebra - the gauge algebra $\mathfrak{h} = T\mathcal{G}$ of the supergravity. This gauge algebra is related to the generalized tangent bundle $T \oplus T^*$ of the spacetime. Given such a Lie group G with Poisson structure, one can define a bracket on the cotangent bundle T^*G using the Poisson bracket. One may then define a natural algebraic structure on $TG \oplus T^*G$. In the case where the group G is a torus, TG is related to momentum modes of the string and T^*G is related to the winding modes. This algebraic structure on $TG \oplus T^*G$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , which in this case is that of a Drinfel'd double. It would be interesting to investigate a natural generalization of this construction to Nambu-Lie groups - Lie groups with a generalization of a Poisson bracket, called a Nambu bracket [56], which allows one to define an algebraic structure on $TG \oplus \bigwedge^2 T^*G$. If G is a torus, TG is related to momenta and it is tempting to now suggest that $\bigwedge^2 T^*G$ is related to the spectrum of M2 wrapping modes.

A Semi-direct product example

If the Poisson-structure is trivial $[\ell, \ell']^* = 0$, then the natural bracket on $TG \oplus T^*G$ is the Courant bracket

$$[K + \ell, K' + \ell'] = [K, K'] + \mathcal{L}_K \ell' - \mathcal{L}_{K'} \ell - \frac{1}{2} d(\iota_K \ell' - \iota_{K'} \ell)$$

where $K \in TG$ and $\ell \in T^*G$. The Lie derivative²⁰ $\mathcal{L}_K \ell$ generates the right action of the vector field K on the left-invariant one-form ℓ , in other words it is the adjoint action of G on T^*G . Using

$$d\ell^m + \frac{1}{2} f_{np}{}^m \ell^n \wedge \ell^p = 0 \quad [K_m, K_n] = f_{mn}{}^p K_p$$

the bracket may be written as

$$[K_m, K_n] = f_{mn}{}^p K_p \quad [\ell^m, K_n] = f_{np}{}^m \ell^p \quad [\ell^m, \ell^n] = 0$$

²⁰Consider the left-invariant one-form $\ell = g^{-1}dg$. The right action on ℓ , generated by the left-invariant vector field $\xi = \xi^m K_m$ is

$$R_\xi : g \mapsto g\xi \quad R_\xi \ell = \xi^{-1} d\xi + \xi^{-1} \ell \xi$$

Infinitesimally $\xi = 1 + \varepsilon^m T_m$, then

$$\delta_\varepsilon \ell^m = d\varepsilon^m + f_{np}{}^m \ell^n \varepsilon^p$$

This is precisely the action of the Lie derivative along the left-invariant vector field $\varepsilon = \varepsilon^m K_m$

$$\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon \ell^m = d\iota_\varepsilon \ell^m + \iota_\varepsilon d\ell^m = d\varepsilon^m + f_{np}{}^m \ell^n \varepsilon^p$$

so that $\mathcal{L}_K \ell = ad_K^* \ell$ in this case.

As an example, consider the double $\mathcal{G} = G \ltimes \mathbb{R}^D$ where G is a D -dimensional group with Lie algebra

$$[T_m, T_n] = f_{mn}{}^p T_p \quad [\tilde{T}^m, T_n] = f_{np}{}^m \tilde{T}^p \quad [\tilde{T}^m, \tilde{T}^n] = 0$$

is given in terms of the generators t_m of G

$$T_m = \begin{pmatrix} t_m & 0 \\ 0 & t_m \end{pmatrix} \quad \tilde{T}^m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h^{mn} t_n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $[t_m, t_n] = f_{mn}{}^p t_p$ and h^{mn} is an invariant metric of G (e.g. Cartan-Killing if G is semi-simple). For consideration of the left-invariant objects a useful parameterisation of a general element of this group is

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} g & g \tilde{x}_m h^{mn} t_n \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix} \quad h^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -\tilde{x}_m h^{mn} t_n g^{-1} \\ 0 & g^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $h \in \mathcal{G}$, $g \in G$ and \tilde{x}_m are coordinates on the group $\tilde{G} = \mathbb{R}^D$. Note that in this parameterisation the coordinate \tilde{X} is Lie-algebra valued²¹ i.e. $\tilde{x}_i = \delta_i{}^m \tilde{x}_m$. The left-invariant forms $\mathcal{P} = h^{-1} dh \in \mathfrak{h}$ are

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} dg & d\tilde{x} - [\tilde{x}, g^{-1} dg] \\ 0 & g^{-1} dg \end{pmatrix}$$

or $\mathcal{P} = \ell^m T_m + Q_m \tilde{T}^m$ where

$$\ell^m = (g^{-1} dg)^m \quad Q_m = d\tilde{x}_m + f_{mn}{}^p \tilde{x}_p \ell^n$$

These forms satisfy the Bianchi identities

$$d\ell^m + \frac{1}{2} f_{np}{}^m \ell^n \wedge \ell^p = 0 \quad dQ_m - f_{mn}{}^p Q_p \wedge \ell^n = 0$$

Dual to these one-forms are the generators of the right action Z_m and X^m

$$Z_m = (\ell^{-1})_m{}^i \partial_i - f_{mn}{}^p \tilde{x}_p \partial^n \quad X^m = \partial^m$$

This gives a non-trivial lift of the isometry group of G , generated by $K_m = (\ell^{-1})_m{}^i \partial_i$, to the double h . These generators satisfy

$$[Z_m, Z_n] = -f_{mn}{}^p Z_p \quad [X^m, Z_n] = f_{np}{}^m X^p \quad [X^m, X^n] = 0$$

These objects are invariant under the rigid left action given by

$$g \sim hg \quad \tilde{x} \sim \tilde{x} + g^{-1} \tilde{\alpha} g$$

We see that if we restrict to the subspace invariant under X^m , parameterized by the coordinates x^i , Z_m becomes

$$Z_m|_G = K_m$$

²¹Actually, \tilde{x}_m takes values in the Lie co-algebra.

B Adjoint Actions and Background Tensors

At the identity $e \in \mathcal{G}$ we could define a tensor E_{mn} such that

$$\mathcal{E}^+ = \text{Span}\{T_m + E_{mn}(e)\tilde{T}^n\} \quad \mathcal{E}^- = \text{Span}\{T_m - E_{nm}(e)\tilde{T}^n\} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

We can define a tensor $\mathcal{F}_{mn}(g)$ at generic points $g \in G \subset \mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{mn}(e) = E_{mn}$. This may be achieved by acting with the adjoint action on the eigenspaces \mathcal{E}^\pm

$$g^{-1}\mathcal{E}^+g = \text{Span}\{T_m + \mathcal{F}_{mn}(g)\tilde{T}^n\} \quad g^{-1}\mathcal{E}^-g = \text{Span}\{T_m - \mathcal{F}_{nm}(g)\tilde{T}^n\} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

To determine the explicit form of $\mathcal{F}_{mn}(g)$ consider

$$\begin{aligned} g^{-1}\mathcal{E}^+g &= \text{Span}\{g^{-1}T_m g + E_{mn}g^{-1}\tilde{T}^n g\} \\ &= \text{Span}\{(A_m^p + E_{mn}\beta^{np})T_p + E_{mn}(A^{-1})^n_p \tilde{T}^p\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where (2.12) has been used. The overall normalization has no meaning and so we can divide out by the factor $(A_m^p + E_{mn}(e)\beta^{np})$ throughout and identify the coefficient in front of the \tilde{T}^m generator as $\mathcal{F}_{mn}(g)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}_{mn}(g) = (A_p^m + E_{pq}\beta^{qm})^{-1} E_{pt}(A^{-1})^t_n \quad (\text{B.4})$$

we define the symmetric and anti-symmetric parts of $\mathcal{F}(g)_{mn}$ as $G_{mn}(g)$ and $B_{mn}(g)$ respectively. Using the fact that $r^m_i = A_n^m(g)\ell^n_i$ we can write

$$\mathcal{F}_{mn}(g)r^m_i r^m_j = \mathcal{E}_{mn}(g)\ell^m_i \ell^m_j \quad (\text{B.5})$$

where $(\mathcal{E}^{-1})^{mn}(g) = E^{mn} + \pi^{mn}(g)$. Note that the open string theory sees a background composed of a metric $G_{\text{open}}^{mn} = E^{(mn)}$ and a non-commutativity parameter $\theta^{mn} = E^{[mn]} + \pi^{mn}$. Similar arguments can be given for the transport of \mathcal{E}^\pm by the adjoint action of \tilde{G} where one defines a \tilde{g} -dependent background tensor $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ through

$$\tilde{g}^{-1}\mathcal{E}^+\tilde{g} = \text{Span}\{\tilde{T}^m + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{mn}(\tilde{g})T_n\} \quad \tilde{g}^{-1}\mathcal{E}^-\tilde{g} = \text{Span}\{\tilde{T}^m - \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{nm}(\tilde{g})T_n\} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

It is not hard to show that the tensor $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{mn}(\tilde{g})$ is given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{mn}(\tilde{g}) = \left(\tilde{A}^p_m + \tilde{E}^{pq}\tilde{\beta}_{qm} \right)^{-1} \tilde{E}^{pq}(\tilde{A}^{-1})^n_q \quad (\text{B.7})$$

We can also define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{mn}(\tilde{g})\tilde{r}^m_i \tilde{r}^n_j = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{mn}(\tilde{g})\tilde{\ell}^m_i \tilde{\ell}^n_j \quad (\text{B.8})$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{g}) = (E^{-1} + \tilde{\pi})^{-1}$. The Poisson-Lie map takes us from a sigma model with Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{F}_{mn}(g)(\partial_- g g^{-1})^m (\partial_+ g g^{-1})^n = \mathcal{E}_{mn}(\tilde{g})(g^{-1}\partial_- g)^m (g^{-1}\partial_+ g)^n \quad (\text{B.9})$$

where $\mathcal{E}(g) = (E^{-1}(e) + \pi)$ to one with Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{mn}(\tilde{g})(\partial_- \tilde{g} \tilde{g}^{-1})_m (\partial_+ \tilde{g} \tilde{g}^{-1})_n = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{mn}(\tilde{g})(\tilde{g}^{-1}\partial_- \tilde{g})_m (\tilde{g}^{-1}\partial_+ \tilde{g})_n \quad (\text{B.10})$$

where $\mathcal{E}(\tilde{g}) = (E + \pi)^{-1}$. We see that in the case where $\mathcal{X} = T^{2D}$, then $\pi = \tilde{\pi} = 0$ and the Buscher rules $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}^{-1}$ are recovered.

C Metric and Product Structure on the Double

In this appendix, the explicit form of the real structure \mathcal{R} is given.

$$\mathcal{R} = |\mathcal{E}^{+m}\rangle\langle\mathcal{E}_m^+| + |\mathcal{E}^{m-}\rangle\langle\mathcal{E}_m^-| \quad (\text{C.1})$$

The identity is

$$\mathbb{I} = |\mathcal{E}^{+m}\rangle\langle\mathcal{E}_m^+| - |\mathcal{E}^{m-}\rangle\langle\mathcal{E}_m^-| \quad (\text{C.2})$$

The matrix representation of the linear idempotent map \mathcal{R} is given by

$$\mathcal{R}^M{}_N = \langle T^M | \mathcal{R} | T_N \rangle \quad (\text{C.3})$$

If we define the symmetric metric $\mathcal{M}_{MN} = L_{MP}\mathcal{R}^P{}_N$ then we can write

$$\mathcal{R}^M{}_N = L^{MP}\langle T_P | \mathcal{M} | T_N \rangle \quad (\text{C.4})$$

It is actually the metric \mathcal{M} which plays a more fundamental role in the analysis. The matrix takes the form

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}^{mn} & \mathcal{M}_m{}^n \\ \mathcal{M}^m{}_n & \mathcal{M}_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{C.5})$$

It is not too hard to show that

$$\langle T_m | \mathcal{E}_n^\pm \rangle = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} E_{nm} \quad \langle \tilde{T}^m | \mathcal{E}_n^\pm \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta^m{}_n \quad (\text{C.6})$$

from which we can show

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{mn} &= \langle T_m | \mathcal{M} | T_n \rangle = \langle T_m | \mathcal{E}^{p+} \rangle \langle \mathcal{E}_p^+ | T_n \rangle + \langle T_m | \mathcal{E}^{p-} \rangle \langle \mathcal{E}_p^- | T_n \rangle \\ &= E_{pm} g^{pq} E_{nq} = g_{mn} + B_{mp} g^{pq} B_{qn} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.7})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^m{}_n &= \langle \tilde{T}^m | \mathcal{M} | T_n \rangle = \langle \tilde{T}^m | \mathcal{E}^{p+} \rangle \langle \mathcal{E}_p^+ | T_n \rangle + \langle \tilde{T}^m | \mathcal{E}^{p-} \rangle \langle \mathcal{E}_p^- | T_n \rangle \\ &= g^{mp} E_{[pn]} = g^{mp} B_{pn} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.8})$$

$$\mathcal{M}^{mn} = \langle \tilde{T}^m | \mathcal{M} | \tilde{T}^n \rangle = \langle \tilde{T}^m | \mathcal{E}^{p+} \rangle \langle \mathcal{E}_p^+ | \tilde{T}^n \rangle + \langle \tilde{T}^m | \mathcal{E}_p^- \rangle \langle \mathcal{E}_q^- | \tilde{T}^n \rangle = g^{mn} \quad (\text{C.9})$$

so that

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{mn} + B_{mp} g^{pq} B_{qn} & g^{np} B_{pm} \\ g^{mp} B_{pn} & g^{mn} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{C.10})$$

The real structure is given by $\mathcal{R}^M{}_N = L^{MP}\mathcal{M}_{PN}$

$$\mathcal{R}^M{}_N = \begin{pmatrix} g^{mp} B_{pn} & g_{mn} + B_{mp} g^{pq} B_{qn} \\ g^{mn} & g^{mp} B_{pn} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{C.11})$$

There is a corresponding reduction of the structure group in terms of the components of the generalised vectors on $TG \oplus T^*G$ representation. Define the basis

$$|\mathcal{E}^+_m\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|K_m\rangle + E_{mn}|\ell^n\rangle] \quad |\mathcal{E}^-_m\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|K_m\rangle - E_{nm}|\ell^n\rangle]$$

We now consider E to be the Span of $g \pm B : T^*G \rightarrow TG$. The explicit forms of the matrix product structure, which in this basis we denote as \mathcal{R} , are

$$\mathcal{R} = |\mathcal{E}^{+m}\rangle(\mathcal{E}^+_m| + |\mathcal{E}^{m-}\rangle(\mathcal{E}^-_m| \quad (\text{C.12})$$

The identity is

$$\mathbb{I} = |\mathcal{E}^{+m}\rangle(\mathcal{E}^+_m| - |\mathcal{E}^{m-}\rangle(\mathcal{E}^-_m| \quad (\text{C.13})$$

where $|\mathcal{E}^{\pm m}\rangle = g^{mn}|\mathcal{E}^{\pm}_n\rangle$. Using the fact that $(K_m|\ell^n) = \delta_m^n$ it is not hard to show that $\mathcal{M}_{MN} = (\mathcal{Z}_M|\mathcal{R}|\mathcal{Z}_N)$ takes the same form as before. In other words, with a minor abuse of notation,

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = (\mathcal{Z}_M|\mathcal{R}|\mathcal{Z}_N) = \langle T_M|\mathcal{R}|T_N\rangle$$

D Adjoint Transformation of the Doubled Metric

In this appendix we show the adjoint action of G (or alternatively \tilde{G}) on the basis elements T_M induces an $O(D, D)$ transformation on the metric \mathcal{M} . Let $\mathcal{M}_{MN}(g) = \langle g^{-1}T_M g|\mathcal{R}|g^{-1}T_N g\rangle$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{MN}(g) &= \langle g^{-1}T_M g|\mathcal{R}|g^{-1}T_N g\rangle \\ &= \langle g^{-1}T_M g|T^P\rangle\langle T_P|\mathcal{R}|T^Q\rangle\langle T_Q|g^{-1}T_N g\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Using $g^{-1}T_M g = T_S \mathcal{O}^S_M(g)$ and $\langle T_P|\mathcal{R}|T^Q\rangle = \mathcal{M}_{PQ}(E)$ we can write this as

$$\langle T_S|\mathcal{O}^S_M(g)|T^P\rangle\mathcal{M}_{PQ}(E)\langle T_Q|\mathcal{O}^T_N(g)|T_T\rangle = \mathcal{O}_M^P(g)\mathcal{M}_{PQ}(E)\mathcal{O}^Q_M(g)$$

so that

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN}(g) = \mathcal{O}_M^P(g)\mathcal{M}_{PQ}(E)\mathcal{O}^Q_M(g)$$

as required.

E H-twisted Drinfel'd double

As a simple example, consider the H -twisted Drinfel'd double \mathfrak{h}_H . It was explicitly shown how the sigma model on the group G (or the twisted torus G/Γ_G) with G_L -invariant H -flux was recovered from the doubled sigma model (3.4) by gauging the subgroup \tilde{G}_L . Here, the

same result is recovered by starting with the new sigma model (E.2) and gauging the same \tilde{G}_L subgroup. The left-invariant one-forms may be written as

$$\mathcal{P}^M = \begin{pmatrix} P^m & Q_m \end{pmatrix}$$

where the Bianchi identities (3.18) in this case are

$$dP^m + \frac{1}{2}f_{np}{}^m P^n \wedge P^p = 0 \quad dQ_m - f_{mn}{}^p Q_p \wedge P^n - \frac{1}{2}H_{mnp} P^n \wedge P^p = 0 \quad (\text{E.1})$$

These one-forms are equivalent to the (left-invariant) right-acting gauge algebra

$$[Z_m, Z_n] = f_{mn}{}^p Z_p + H_{mnp} X^p \quad [Z_m, X^n] = -f_{mp}{}^n X^p \quad [X^m, X^n] = 0$$

The right-invariant gauge group is obtained by changing the signs of the structure constants and exchanging the left-invariant generators Z_m and X^m with the right-invariant generators \tilde{Z}_m and \tilde{X}^m respectively. The key observation is that $[\tilde{X}^m, \tilde{X}^n] = 0$ and so the \tilde{X}^m generate a maximally isotropic subgroup $\tilde{G}_L \subset \mathcal{G}_L$ which can be gauged. Gauging the symmetry generated by \tilde{X}^m requires the introduction of the gauge fields $C = C_m \tilde{T}^m$ by minimal coupling

$$\mathcal{P} = h^{-1} dh \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{P}} = h^{-1} (d + C) h$$

It is useful to define $\mathcal{C} = h^{-1} C h$, so that

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}^M = \mathcal{P}^M + \mathcal{C}^M \quad \mathcal{C}^M = (h^{-1} C h)^M$$

In the current example (E.1) one can show that $\mathcal{C} = C_m \tilde{T}^m$, i.e. there is no $\mathcal{C}^m T_m$ component and the minimal coupling may be written simply as

$$\mathcal{P}^M \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{P}}^M = \mathcal{P}^M + \mathcal{C}^M \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad Q_m \rightarrow \hat{Q}_m = Q_m + C_m$$

As discussed in [53], the term

$$\frac{1}{2} L_{MN} \mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{C}^N$$

must also be added to give the gauged action

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \oint_{\Sigma} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \hat{\mathcal{P}}^M \wedge * \hat{\mathcal{P}}^N + \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} L_{MN} \mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{C}^N + \frac{1}{12} \int_V t_{MNP} \mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{P}^N \wedge \mathcal{P}^P \quad (\text{E.2})$$

which may be written as

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2 \sigma \sqrt{h} h^{\alpha\beta} \langle \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha} | \mathcal{R} | \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\beta} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} d^2 \sigma \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \langle \mathcal{P}_{\alpha} | \mathcal{C}_{\beta} \rangle + \frac{1}{12} \int_V d^3 \sigma' \varepsilon^{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'} \langle \mathcal{P}_{\alpha'} | [\mathcal{P}_{\beta'}, \mathcal{P}_{\gamma'}] \rangle$$

This is not strictly independent of the choice of Lie-algebra basis as the definition of \mathcal{C} depends on a choice of which maximally isotropic subgroup of \mathcal{G} is gauged. Using the Bianchi identities (E.1), the Wess-Zumino term in (3.6) is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{wz} &= \frac{1}{4} \int_V f_{np}{}^m Q_m \wedge P^n \wedge P^p - \frac{1}{12} \int_V H_{mnp} P^m \wedge P^n \wedge P^p \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} P^m \wedge Q_m + \frac{1}{6} \int_V H_{mnp} P^m \wedge P^n \wedge P^p \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.3})$$

The fact that $P^m \wedge Q_m$ is globally defined has been used to write the two-dimensional term. Expanding the action (E.2) using (E.3) and (2.15) and then completing the square in C_m , the doubled action may be written

$$S = \oint_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} g_{mn} P^m \wedge *P^n + \frac{1}{2} B_{mn} P^m \wedge P^n + \frac{1}{4} g^{mn} \lambda_m \wedge * \lambda_n \right) + \int_V \frac{1}{6} H_{mnp} P^m \wedge P^n \wedge P^p$$

where

$$\lambda_m = Q_m + C_m - g_{mn} * P^n - B_{mn} P^n$$

The C_m can be integrated out to give a theory whose target space is a twisted torus with G_L -invariant H -flux, reproducing the result of the last section.

F Recovering the Poisson-Lie Map

This Appendix shows how the Poisson-Lie map is recovered from the doubled formalism. We assume the double may be decomposed (at least close to the identity) as $h = \tilde{g}g$ where $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{G}/G_L$ and $g \in G$. The left-invariant one forms may be written as

$$\mathcal{P} = g^{-1} \left(r + \tilde{\ell} \right) g = g^{-1} \mathcal{P} g \quad (\text{F.1})$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}^M = \begin{pmatrix} r^m & \tilde{\ell}_m \end{pmatrix} \quad r = dg g^{-1} \quad \tilde{\ell} = \tilde{h}^{-1} d\tilde{h} \quad (\text{F.2})$$

with Bianchi identities (2.2). Note that \mathcal{P} takes values in the cotangent bundle of \mathcal{G} , whereas \mathcal{P} takes values in the cotangent bundle of $G \times \tilde{G}$. Choosing a basis for the generators we have $r = r^m T_m$ and $\tilde{\ell} = \tilde{\ell}_m \tilde{T}^m$ so that

$$\mathcal{P} = r^m (g^{-1} T_m g) + \tilde{\ell}_m (g^{-1} \tilde{T}^m g) = \mathcal{P}^M (g^{-1} T_M g) \quad (\text{F.3})$$

Recalling the adjoint action of G on $T\mathcal{G}$ (2.12) we can write $\mathcal{P}^M = \mathcal{P}^N \mathcal{O}_N^M$ where \mathcal{O} is given by (2.12). Define the g -dependent background tensor $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$ as

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{O} \mathcal{M}(E) \mathcal{O}^T \quad (\text{F.4})$$

As demonstrated in section three, the Wess-Zumino term may be written as

$$S_{wz} = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} r^m \wedge \tilde{\ell}_m$$

which is the generalisation of the symplectic form used in the abelian case. The action now only depends on the worldsheet Σ and can be written in terms of the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN}(\mathcal{F}) \mathcal{P}^M \wedge * \mathcal{P}^N + \frac{1}{2} r^m \wedge \tilde{\ell}_m$$

F.1 Gauging the Left Action

Gauging by minimal coupling is given by introducing the invariant one-forms

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = h^{-1}(\mathrm{d} + C)h \quad (\text{F.5})$$

Under infinitesimal variations from the left $\delta C = -\mathrm{d}\alpha - [\alpha, C]$ and $\delta\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = 0$, where the parameter may be written as $\alpha = \alpha^m T_m + \alpha_m \widetilde{T}^m$. Choosing first the polarisation $h = \tilde{g}g$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = g^{-1}\widehat{\mathcal{P}}g \quad (\text{F.6})$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = \begin{pmatrix} r & \widehat{\ell} \end{pmatrix} \quad r = \mathrm{d}g g^{-1} \quad \widehat{\ell} = \tilde{g}^{-1}(\mathrm{d} + C)\tilde{g} \quad (\text{F.7})$$

We are therefore considering a gauging of the left action $\delta\tilde{g} = \alpha\tilde{g}$, $\delta g = 0$. Under this action the fields transform as

$$\delta r = 0 \quad \delta\tilde{\ell} = \tilde{g}^{-1}\mathrm{d}\alpha\tilde{g} \quad \delta C_m = -\mathrm{d}\alpha_m - c_m{}^{np}\alpha_p C_n \quad (\text{F.8})$$

Consider the term

$$\frac{1}{2}L_{MN}\mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{C}^N = \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathcal{P}|\mathcal{C} \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathcal{P}|\mathcal{C} \rangle = \frac{1}{2}L_{MN}\mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{C}^N \quad (\text{F.9})$$

where $\mathcal{C} = \tilde{g}^{-1}\mathcal{C}\tilde{g}$. The gauged action can then be written as

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \oint_{\Sigma} \mathcal{M}_{MN}(\mathcal{F}) \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^M \wedge * \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^N + \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} L_{MN} \mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{C}^N + \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} r^m \wedge \tilde{\ell}_m$$

Note that since $\tilde{g}^{-1}\widetilde{T}^m\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{G}$ we have $\mathcal{C}^m = 0$ and

$$\frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} L_{MN} \mathcal{P}^M \wedge \mathcal{C}^N + \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} r^m \wedge \tilde{\ell}_m = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} r^m \wedge \widehat{\ell}_m$$

Expanding out the action

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{1}{4} \oint_{\Sigma} G^{mn} \widehat{\ell}_m \wedge * \widehat{\ell}_n + \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} G^{mp} B_{pn} \widehat{\ell}_m \wedge * r^n + \frac{1}{4} \oint_{\Sigma} (G_{mn} + B_{mp} G^{pq} B_{qn}) r^m \wedge * r^n \\ &\quad + \oint_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} r^m \wedge \widehat{\ell}_m \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F.10})$$

Completing the square in $\widehat{\ell}_m$ gives

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \oint_{\Sigma} G^{mn} \lambda_m \wedge * \lambda_n + \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} G_{mn} r^m \wedge * r^n + \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} B_{mn} r^m \wedge r^n \quad (\text{F.11})$$

where

$$\lambda_m = \widehat{\ell}_m - G_{mn} * r^n - B_{mn} r^n \quad (\text{F.12})$$

Using the result (B.5) this can be written in the standard way (3.9).

F.2 Dual Polarization

Consider instead $h = g\tilde{g}$ where $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}/\tilde{G}_L$ so that

$$\mathcal{P} = \tilde{g}^{-1} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}} \tilde{g} \quad \mathcal{P}^M = \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^N \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_N{}^M \quad (\text{F.13})$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is given by (2.12)

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^M = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{r}^m & \ell_m \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{F.14})$$

$\tilde{r} = d\tilde{g}\tilde{g}^{-1}$ and $\ell = dg g^{-1}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(E)_{MN} \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^M \wedge * \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^N &= \mathcal{M}_{MN}(E + \tilde{\pi}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^M \wedge * \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^N \\ &= \mathcal{M}_{MN}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{-1}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^M \wedge * \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^N = \mathcal{M}^{MN}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_M \wedge * \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_N \end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_M = L_{MN} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^N$. The action in the dual polarisation becomes

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \oint_{\Sigma} \mathcal{M}^{MN}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_M \wedge * \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_N + \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Sigma} \ell_m \wedge \tilde{r}^m \quad (\text{F.15})$$

In this polarisation, $h = g\tilde{g}$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = \tilde{g}^{-1} (d\tilde{g}\tilde{g}^{-1} + g^{-1}(d + C)g) \tilde{g} \quad (\text{F.16})$$

Under this action the fields transform as

$$\delta\ell = 0 \quad \delta\tilde{r} = g^{-1}d\alpha g \quad \delta C^m = -d\alpha^m - f_{np}{}^m \alpha^p C^m \quad (\text{F.17})$$

Proceeding as above, the gauged action can be shown to reproduce (3.12).

References

- [1] T. H. Buscher, “A Symmetry of the String Background Field Equations,” *Phys. Lett. B* **194**, 59 (1987).
- [2] A. Giveon and M. Rocek, “Generalized duality in curved string backgrounds,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **380** (1992) 128 [arXiv:hep-th/9112070].
- [3] S. F. Hassan, “T-duality, space-time spinors and R-R fields in curved backgrounds,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **568** (2000) 145 [arXiv:hep-th/9907152].
- [4] S. F. Hassan, “Supersymmetry and the systematics of T-duality rotations in type-II superstring theories,” *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **102** (2001) 77 [arXiv:hep-th/0103149].
- [5] C. M. Hull, “Global Aspects of T-Duality, Gauged Sigma Models and T-Folds,” arXiv:hep-th/0604178.
- [6] A. Giveon, M. Porrati and E. Rabinovici, “Target space duality in string theory,” *Phys. Rept.* **244**, 77 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9401139].
- [7] X. C. de la Ossa and F. Quevedo, “Duality symmetries from nonAbelian isometries in string theory,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **403**, 377 (1993) [arXiv:hep-th/9210021].

- [8] A. Giveon and M. Rocek, “On nonAbelian duality,” Nucl. Phys. B **421**, 173 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9308154].
- [9] N. Berkovits and J. Maldacena, “Fermionic T-Duality, Dual Superconformal Symmetry, and the Amplitude/Wilson Loop Connection,” JHEP **0809**, 062 (2008) [arXiv:0807.3196 [hep-th]].
- [10] C. Klimcik and P. Severa, “Strings in space-time cotangent bundle and t duality,” Mod. Phys. Lett. A **10** (1995) 323 [arXiv:hep-th/9411003].
- [11] C. Klimcik and P. Severa, “Poisson-Lie T-duality and Loop Groups of Drinfeld Doubles,” Phys. Lett. B **372** (1996) 65 [arXiv:hep-th/9512040].
- [12] C. Klimcik and P. Severa, “Dual Nonabelian Duality And The Drinfeld Double,” Phys. Lett. B **351** (1995) 455 [arXiv:hep-th/9502122].
- [13] J. Maharana and J. H. Schwarz, “Noncompact symmetries in string theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **390**, 3 (1993) [arXiv:hep-th/9207016].
- [14] N. Kaloper and R. C. Myers, “The O(dd) story of massive supergravity,” JHEP **9905**, 010 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9901045].
- [15] C. M. Hull and R. A. Reid-Edwards, “Flux compactifications of string theory on twisted tori,” arXiv:hep-th/0503114.
- [16] C. M. Hull and R. A. Reid-Edwards, “Flux compactifications of M-theory on twisted tori,” JHEP **0610** (2006) 086 [arXiv:hep-th/0603094].
- [17] B. de Wit, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, “The maximal D = 4 supergravities,” JHEP **0706** (2007) 049 [arXiv:0705.2101 [hep-th]].
- [18] B. de Wit, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, “The maximal D = 5 supergravities,” Nucl. Phys. B **716** (2005) 215 [arXiv:hep-th/0412173].
- [19] E. Bergshoeff, H. Samtleben and E. Sezgin, “The Gaugings of Maximal D=6 Supergravity,” JHEP **0803** (2008) 068 [arXiv:0712.4277 [hep-th]].
- [20] H. Samtleben and M. Weidner, “The maximal D = 7 supergravities,” Nucl. Phys. B **725** (2005) 383 [arXiv:hep-th/0506237].
- [21] R. A. Reid-Edwards and B. Spanjaard, “N=4 Gauged Supergravity from Duality-Twist Compactifications of String Theory,” JHEP **0812**, 052 (2008) [arXiv:0810.4699 [hep-th]].
- [22] R. A. Reid-Edwards, “Geometric and non-geometric compactifications of IIB supergravity,” arXiv:hep-th/0610263.
- [23] G. Dall’Agata, N. Prezas, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, “Gauged Supergravities from Twisted Doubled Tori and Non-Geometric String arXiv:0712.1026 [hep-th].
- [24] C. M. Hull, “A geometry for non-geometric string backgrounds,” JHEP **0510** (2005) 065 [arXiv:hep-th/0406102].
- [25] A. Dabholkar and C. Hull, “Generalised T-duality and non-geometric backgrounds,” JHEP **0605**, 009 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0512005].
- [26] A. Dabholkar and C. Hull, “Duality twists, orbifolds, and fluxes,” JHEP **0309**, 054 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0210209].
- [27] B. Wecht, “Lectures on Nongeometric Flux Compactifications,” Class. Quant. Grav. **24** (2007) S773 [arXiv:0708.3984 [hep-th]].

- [28] C. M. Hull and R. A. Reid-Edwards, “Gauge Symmetry, T-Duality and Doubled Geometry,” arXiv:0711.4818 [hep-th].
- [29] C. M. Hull and R. A. Reid-Edwards, “Non-geometric backgrounds, doubled geometry and generalised T-duality,” JHEP **0909**, 014 (2009) [arXiv:0902.4032 [hep-th]].
- [30] A. A. Tseytlin, “Duality Symmetric Closed String Theory And Interacting Chiral Scalars,” Nucl. Phys. B **350** (1991) 395.
- [31] G. Dall’Agata and N. Prezas, “Worldsheet theories for non-geometric string backgrounds,” JHEP **0808** (2008) 088 [arXiv:0806.2003 [hep-th]].
- [32] M. Grana, J. Louis and D. Waldram, “Hitchin functionals in $N = 2$ supergravity,” JHEP **0601** (2006) 008 [arXiv:hep-th/0505264].
- [33] M. Grana, J. Louis and D. Waldram, “ $SU(3) \times SU(3)$ compactification and mirror duals of magnetic fluxes,” JHEP **0704** (2007) 101 [arXiv:hep-th/0612237].
- [34] S. Gurrieri, J. Louis, A. Micu and D. Waldram, “Mirror symmetry in generalized Calabi-Yau compactifications,” Nucl. Phys. B **654** (2003) 61 [arXiv:hep-th/0211102].
- [35] V. Chari and A. Pressley, “A Guide To Quantum Groups,” *Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr. (1994) 651 p*
- [36] S. Majid, “Foundations of quantum group theory,” *Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr. (1995) 607 p*
- [37] V. G. Drinfeld, “Hamiltonian structures of lie groups, lie bialgebras and the geometric meaning of the classical Yang-Baxter equations,” Sov. Math. Dokl. **27** (1983) 68.
- [38] M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, “Poisson Lie groups, quantum duality principle, and the quantum double,” Theor. Math. Phys. **93**, 1292 (1992) [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **93N2**, 302 (1992)] [arXiv:hep-th/9304042].
- [39] V. G. Drinfeld, “Quantum groups,” J. Sov. Math. **41**, 898 (1988) [Zap. Nauchn. Semin. **155**, 18 (1986)].
- [40] Y Kosmann-Schwarzbach, “Poisson-Lie Groups and Beyond,” *Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Vol.82, No.6 (1996) 3807 p*
- [41] Courant, Theodore, ”Dirac manifolds”, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 319:631-661, (1990).
- [42] R. Von Unge, “Poisson-Lie T-plurality,” JHEP **0207** (2002) 014 [arXiv:hep-th/0205245].
- [43] M. Gualtieri, “Generalized complex geometry,” arXiv:math/0401221.
- [44] M. Gualtieri, “Generalized complex geometry,” arXiv:math/0703298.
- [45] C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, “Double Field Theory,” JHEP **0909**, 099 (2009) [arXiv:0904.4664 [hep-th]].
- [46] C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, “The gauge algebra of double field theory and Courant brackets,” JHEP **0909**, 090 (2009) [arXiv:0908.1792 [hep-th]].
- [47] S. D. Avramis, J. P. Derendinger and N. Prezas, “Conformal chiral boson models on twisted doubled tori and non-geometric string vacua,” Nucl. Phys. B **827** (2010) 281 [arXiv:0910.0431 [hep-th]].
- [48] R. A. Reid-Edwards, “Flux compactifications, twisted tori and doubled geometry,” JHEP **0906**, 085 (2009) [arXiv:0904.0380 [hep-th]].
- [49] E. Alvarez and Y. Kubyshev, “Is the string coupling constant invariant under T-duality?,” Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **57** (1997) 44 [arXiv:hep-th/9610032].
- [50] K. Sfetsos, “Canonical equivalence of non-isometric sigma-models and Poisson-Lie Nucl. Phys. B **517** (1998) 549 [arXiv:hep-th/9710163].

- [51] Y. Lozano, “NonAbelian duality and canonical transformations,” *Phys. Lett. B* **355**, 165 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9503045].
- [52] Y. Lozano, “Duality and canonical transformations,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **11**, 2893 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9610024].
- [53] C. M. Hull and B. J. Spence, “The Gauged Nonlinear Sigma Model With Wess-Zumino Term,” *Phys. Lett. B* **232**, 204 (1989).
- [54] M. Cederwall, “M-branes on U-folds,” arXiv:0712.4287 [hep-th].
- [55] C. M. Hull, “Generalised geometry for M-theory,” *JHEP* **0707**, 079 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701203].
- [56] Y. Nambu, “Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **7** (1973) 2405.